

# NASA DOEPOD NDE Capabilities Data Book 

Edward R. Generazio<br>Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia

## NASA STI Program . . . in Profile

Since its founding, NASA has been dedicated to the advancement of aeronautics and space science. The NASA scientific and technical information (STI) program plays a key part in helping NASA maintain this important role.

The NASA STI program operates under the auspices of the Agency Chief Information Officer. It collects, organizes, provides for archiving, and disseminates NASA's STI. The NASA STI program provides access to the NTRS Registered and its public interface, the NASA Technical Reports Server, thus providing one of the largest collections of aeronautical and space science STI in the world. Results are published in both non-NASA channels and by NASA in the NASA STI Report Series, which includes the following report types:

- TECHNICAL PUBLICATION. Reports of completed research or a major significant phase of research that present the results of NASA Programs and include extensive data or theoretical analysis. Includes compilations of significant scientific and technical data and information deemed to be of continuing reference value. NASA counter-part of peer-reviewed formal professional papers but has less stringent limitations on manuscript length and extent of graphic presentations.
- TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM.

Scientific and technical findings that are preliminary or of specialized interest, e.g., quick release reports, working papers, and bibliographies that contain minimal annotation. Does not contain extensive analysis.

- CONTRACTOR REPORT. Scientific and technical findings by NASA-sponsored contractors and grantees.
- CONFERENCE PUBLICATION.

Collected papers from scientific and technical conferences, symposia, seminars, or other meetings sponsored or co-sponsored by NASA.

- SPECIAL PUBLICATION. Scientific, technical, or historical information from NASA programs, projects, and missions, often concerned with subjects having substantial public interest.
- TECHNICAL TRANSLATION. English-language translations of foreign scientific and technical material pertinent to NASA's mission.

Specialized services also include organizing and publishing research results, distributing specialized research announcements and feeds, providing information desk and personal search support, and enabling data exchange services.

For more information about the NASA STI program, see the following:

- Access the NASA STI program home page at http://www.sti.nasa.gov
- E-mail your question to help@sti.nasa.gov
- Phone the NASA STI Information Desk at 757-864-9658
- Write to:

NASA STI Information Desk
Mail Stop 148
NASA Langley Research Center
Hampton, VA 23681-2199


# NASA DOEPOD NDE Capabilities Data Book 

Edward R. Generazio<br>Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration
Langley Research Center
Hampton, Virginia 23681-2199

The use of trademarks or names of manufacturers in this report is for accurate reporting and does not constitute an official endorsement, either expressed or implied, of such products or manufacturers by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Available from:

NASA STI Program / Mail Stop 148
NASA Langley Research Center
Hampton, VA 23681-2199
Fax: 757-864-6500

## INDEX

NASA DOEPOD NDE CAPABILITIES DATA BOOK - OVERVIEW ..... 2
NASA DOEPOD NDE CAPABILITIES DATA BOOK - EXAMPLE ..... 7
NASA DOEPOD NDE CAPABILITIES DATA BOOK - DEFINITIONS ..... 8
NASA DOEPOD NDE CAPABILITIES DATA BOOK - SUMMARY ..... 12
NASA DOEPOD NDE CAPABILITIES DATA BOOK - ANALYSES ..... 20
NTIAC DATA BOOK ERRATA ..... 894

## Overview

This data book contains the Directed Design of Experiments for Validating Probability of Detection (POD) Capability of NDE Systems (DOEPOD) analyses of the nondestructive inspection data presented in the NTIAC, Nondestructive Evaluation (NDE) Capabilities Data Book [1]. DOEPOD is designed as a decision support system to validate inspection system, personnel, and protocol demonstrating 0.90 POD with $95 \%$ confidence at critical flaw sizes, a90/95. Although 0.90 POD with $95 \%$ confidence at critical flaw sizes is often stated as an inspection requirement in inspection documents, including NASA Standards [2], NASA critical aerospace applications have historically only accepted 0.978 POD or better with a $95 \%$ one-sided lower confidence bound exceeding 0.90 at critical flaw sizes, a90/95. (see Figure 11 of [3]).

The test methodology used in DOEPOD is based on the field of statistical sequential analysis founded by Abraham Wald,
"Sequential analysis is a method of statistical inference whose characteristic feature is that the number of observations required by the procedure is not determined in advance of the experiment. The decision to terminate the experiment depends, at each stage, on the results of the observations previously made. A merit of the sequential method, as applied to testing statistical hypotheses, is that test procedures can be constructed which require, on average, a substantially smaller number of observations than equally reliable test procedures based on a predetermined number of observations." A. Wald [4]

Details of the analysis methods used in DOEPOD are fully described in the DOEPOD [5] manual, and "Directed Design of Experiments for Validating Probability of Detection Capability of a Testing System" US Patent Serial Number: US 8,108,178. Additional details are available on the operation [6] [7] and proof property validation [7] of DOEPOD.

The critical importance of validating methodologies used for establishing POD have been highlighted [3] and this data book provides the DOEPOD validation of POD capabilities for NDE systems, materials, structures, and flaw types presented in the NTIAC, Nondestructive Evaluation (NDE) Capabilities Data Book [1].

The maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) method used in DOEPOD to estimate the probability of detection using a two parameter logit model (MLE-Logit) are identical to that used in NTIAC [1]. This MLE method was chosen as a verification of data integrity so that the MLE POD plots in NTIAC [1] and this data book are identical except where this data book provides a correction to NTIAC [1] analysis. Corrections to NTIAC [1] are indicated in the Errata listed at the end of this document. Other MLE-Logit methods may be used, and a simple grid search for maximizing parameters has been demonstrated [3] to be effective. The POD analysis methods of NTIAC [1] and a military handbook [8] use a predetermined number of observations.

It is noted here that the MLE-Logit POD curve fit plots shown in this data book and NTIAC [1] are not validated for implementation [3]. Internal and external validation of MLE-Logit POD estimates is required prior to implementation and initial guidance on validation procedures is provide elsewhere [3]. In contrast, if CASE 1, CASE 1+, CASE 1\# identifications are identified by DOEPOD analyses of test data, then the system, personnel, and inspection protocol maybe considered for acceptance by engineering authority for implementation application on relevant systems

437 NTIAC data sets are analyzed by DOEPOD to yield a CASE identification for each data set. Possible CASE identifications are listed in Table 1. The reader is referred to the DOEPOD manual [5] for definitions of the parameters in Table 1, and for design of experiment instructions on how to proceed to validate systems and personnel inspection capability. The DOEPOD analysis highlights 72 NTIAC data sets has CASE 1, CASE 1+, or CASE 1\# data sets all exhibit 0.978 POD or better with a $95 \%$ one-sided lower confidence bound exceeding 0.90 at critical flaw sizes and meet the historical NASA acceptance criteria when actions in Table 1 are addressed.

DOEPOD acronyms are defined at the end of this overview.

## Table 1

|  | Is 90/95 POD at $\mathrm{X}_{\text {cod }}$ reached? (i.e., lower confidence bound, $X_{\text {Best_LCL, }}$ is equal to or greater than 0.9) | DOEPOD Analysis Summary and Recommendations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASE 1 |  | 90/95 POD at $X_{\infty \infty d}$ has been reached. Actions: Address any false call warnings. |
| CASE 1+ |  | 90/95 POD at $X_{\text {sod }}$ has been reached. Actions: Misses above Xpod need to be explained and resolved. Address any false call warnings. |
| CASE 1\# |  | 90/95 POD at $\mathrm{X}_{\text {sod }}$ has been reached. <br> Actions: Further validation at flaw sizes greater than Xpod is required. Add large flaws. Address any false call warnings. |
| CASE 1* |  | 90/95 POD at $X_{\text {esd }}$ has been reached. <br> Actions: Further validation at flaw sizes greater than Xpod is required. Add large flaws. Misses above Xpod need to be explained and resolved. Address any false call warnings. |
| CASE 2 | $\square$ | 90/95 POD at $X_{\text {eod }}$ has been reached, however, there are an excessive number Misses above $\mathrm{X}_{\text {osd }}$. <br> Actions: Additional validation at identified flaw sizes is required. Add flaws per instructions. |
| CASE 4 | $\square$ | 90/95 POD at $\mathrm{X}_{\text {osd }}$ has not been reached. <br> Actions: Increase number of flaws at $X_{\text {POH=1 }}$ or $X_{\text {Best_LcL. }}$ |
| CASE 5 | $\square$ | 90/95 POD at $X_{\text {pod }}$ has not been reached and there are Misses above $\mathrm{X}_{\text {Pest_LcL. }}$ <br> Actions: Increase the number of flaws at $\mathrm{XPOH}_{\mathrm{PO}}$. |
| CASE 6 | $\square$ | $90 / 95$ POD at $X_{\text {sod }}$ has not been reached. The POH is fluctuating above $X_{\text {esest_LcL }}$ and $X_{\text {son }}$ is greater than $X_{\_} / 3$. The inspection system is unstable for the flaw size range analyzed. Actions: Increase the flaw size range by a factor of two. |
| CASE 7 |  | $90 / 95$ POD at $X_{\text {od }}$ has not been reached. The inspection system is unstable for the entire flaw size range analyzed. Actions: The inspection system may not be appropriate or increase the flaw size range by a factor of two. |
| SURVEY CASES |  | The optimized class width exceeds $1 / 3 \times L$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\text {pod }}$ has not been reached. The class width optimization has determined that there is a class width for which the smallest $X_{\text {POH }}=1$ class length is identified. Actions: Add flaws at Survey/Optimum $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{POH}}$ |
| $==Y E S$ |  |  |

## Logit-ML Estimated POD at a90/95



Figure 1. Logit-ML Estimated POD at critical flaw size, a90/95, from NTIAC (1997). Open diamonds refer to data sets each having 325 samples. The horizontal dashed line is the NASA minimum binomial estimated POD ( 0.978 ) accepted in practice at a flaw size, Xpod, for failure critical applications. DOEPOD analyses identified 72 (red disk) data NTIAC data sets that are classified as CASE 1+, or CASE 1\# having estimated POD exceeding 0.978 at a flaw size, Xpod. Note that Xpod and a90/95 are flaw size inspection capability labelling designations for DOEPOD and NTIAC Data Books, respectively. Xpod and a90/95 do not necessarily refer to the same flaw size for the same data sets.

A top level summary of the DOEPOD analyses of the nondestructive inspection data presented in the NTIAC Data Book [1] is provide in Table 2. CASE 1+, CASE 1\#, CASE 1*, and CASE 2 all exhibit at least one singular point where the one-sided lower $95 \%$ confidence bound on POD exceeds 0.90 at a critical flaw size and additional actions are needed per Table 2 instructions to complete the validation over a range of larger flaw sizes. CASE 4 data sets represent data sets that are similar to CASE 2 data sets, however additional data at selected flaws sizes is needed to move a CASE 4 data set to a CASE 2 data set. The CASE 5 data sets have excessive false negatives in the flaw size range tested, therefore data for larger flaw sizes is needed. CASE 6 data sets exhibit local instability over a portion of the flaw sizes tested, therefore, therefore data for larger flaw sizes is needed or the inspection system is inappropriate for the inspection required. CASE 7 data sets exhibit instability over the entire the flaw size range tested, therefore, therefore data for larger flaw sizes is needed or the inspection system is inappropriate for the
inspection required.
Table 2

| CASE ID | Number <br> of Data Sets | Action Needed |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| CASE 1+ | 2 | Explain of observed false negatives |
| CASE 1\# | 71 | Further validation at larger flaws. Add test specimens <br> with larger flaws. |
| CASE 1* | 80 | Further validation at larger flaw. Add test specimens <br> with larger flaws. Explain observed false negatives. |
| CASE 2 | 46 | Add test specimens at identified flaw sizes to <br> demonstrate POD to be monotonically increasing with <br> flaw size |
| CASE 4 | 12 | Increase amount of relevant data by adding test <br> specimens at identified flaw sizes to establish acceptable <br> POD |
| CASE 5 | 91 | Add test specimens with increased flaw sizes to address <br> excessive false negatives at smaller flaw sizes. |
| CASE 6 | Add test specimens with flaw sizes at least twice as <br> large to address local inspection system oscillation <br> instability or utilize a different inspection system or <br> method. |  |
| CASE 7 | 98 | Add test specimens with flaw sizes at least twice as <br> large to address global inspection system instability or <br> utilize a different inspection system or method. |

A summary of the output of parameter values from the DOEPOD analysis of nondestructive inspection data and methods presented in the NTIAC Data Book [1] is listed in Table 3. The descriptions of the parameters in Table 3 are detailed in reference [5]. The data file name is in column 3 of Table 3 and is used to identify the companion DOEPOD analysis output file. The printouts of the DOEPOD analysis output files follow in alphabetic in order to facilitate location. The electronic DOEPOD analysis output files and a searchable summary of parameter values from the DOEPOD analysis (Table 3) are available in the companion CD-ROM entitled "NASA DOEPOD Nondestructive Evaluation (NDE) Capabilities Data Book" which may be obtained upon request from the publisher.

DOEPOD software is available from NASA by contacting Kathy A. Dezern, phone: 757.864.5704, email: kathy.a.dezern@nasa.gov

## Example

As an illustrative example we examine the first data set A1001AL. The multi-parameter maximum likelihood analysis in the NTIAC NDE Capabilities Data Book indicates the inspection system to have a 0.94 POD with lower single-sided $95 \%$ confidence bound that exceeds 0.9 at 0.27 " flaw size (column labeled "NTIAC 90/95 occurs at POD (inch)". In contrast, the NASA DOEPOD point estimate based method (no curve fitting) indicates that the acceptable capability of this inspection system is at or above the 0.61 " flaws size (column labeled Xpod CLASSLENGTH) where 1.0 POD is estimated (column labeled POH or POD @Xpod) with a single-sided lower $95 \%$ confidence bound that exceeds 0.9 at 0.61 " flaw size.

Examining the data analyses for A1001AL (page 20). There are five Misses (Xs) for the 72 flaws larger than the $0.27^{\prime \prime}$ flaw size yielding a 0.93 point estimate of POD for these grouped larger flaws with a single-sided lower $95 \%$ confidence bound of 0.83 . The multi-parameter POD curve fit does not highlight these Misses as important. DOEPOD indicates that the POD capability for this system and for fracture critical inspections is at or above the 0.61 " flaw size. Even then, DOEPOD analysis indicates [RED notes in chart] that additional large flaw data is needed to complete the validation before accepting the 0.61 " flaw size capability of this inspection system, and that false call analysis is also required.

Accepting the 0.27 " flaw size identified by multi-parameter maximum likelihood method as the detection capability of this inspection system for fracture critical inspections adds known risk as highlighted by the 0.93 point estimate of POD with a single-sided lower bound of 0.83 for the largest flaws. DOEPOD analysis indicates that the POD capability for this system and for fracture critical inspections is at or above the 0.61 " flaw size.

## DOEPOD DEFINITIONS

| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | Class length, e.g., inspection parameter (length, depth, area, etc.) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{W}}$ | Class width (width of the moving class; all flaws within the range $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{W}}$, <br> inclusively, are group together ) |
| Hit | Flaw is detected |
| Miss | Flaw is not detected |
| MLE | Maximum Likelihood Estimate of POD using a two parameter statistical model. <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> The MLE is included in DOEPOD as a user request for comparison. The included <br> DB-97-02, DoD. The use of MLE estimated POD is not recommend unless a full <br> validation of the estimated POD is performed (see Generazio, E. R., <br> Interrelationships Between Receiver/Relative Operating Characteristics Display, <br> Binomial, Logit, and Bayes' Rule Probability of Detection Methodologies, NASA- <br> TM-2014-21818, April 2014. |

Need Add new samples to the existing specimen set in order to reach the number of samples required at the class length. Note that a single specimen may contain more than one flaw, so that "add samples" refers to "add flaws".

LCL Lower confidence bound (value) of POH @ 95\% confidence
Opt. $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{POH}} \quad$ Optimum $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{POH}}$ is identified for non-survey data sets. Optimum $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{POH}}$ is the smallest class length and largest class width at which the minimum $X_{\mathrm{POH}}=1$ occurs. Optimum $X_{\text {POH }}$ may be more aggressive than optional, $X_{\text {PODopt }}$ or $X_{\text {Best }}$ LcL, when the class width is constrained to the companion Optimum $X^{\text {POH }}$ class width listed. DOEPOD does not force use of Optimum $\mathrm{X}_{\text {POH }}$ over $\mathrm{X}_{\text {PODopt. }}$ or $\mathrm{X}_{\text {Best LCL }}$ Stability has not been demonstrated at Optimum $\mathrm{X}_{\text {POH }}$, therefore there is an additional risk that Optimum $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{POH}}$ can not be satisfied to reach $\mathrm{X}_{\text {POD }}$

POH Estimate of Probability of Hit (Number of Hits in Class Length/Total Number of Trials in Class Length)

POD Probability of Detection (the true POD obtained if an infinite number of samples are used)

Signal Scalar amplitude output of NDE inspection system

Survey Data Survey Data Sets are data sets that have a sparce or disperse Sets collection of samples. The moving class width optimization has identified this data set as having limited applications where the classwidth has exceeded $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} / 3$ and $X_{\text {POD }}$ has not been reached. An alternate optimization of $X_{\text {POH }}$ is used to provide guidance. The Survey Set is the recommended initial set for DOEPOD.

Survey $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{POH}} \quad$ Survey $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{POH}}$ is only identified for data sets determined to be Survey Data Sets. Survey $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{POH}}$ is the smallest class length and largest class width at which the minimum $X^{\mathrm{POH}}=1$ class length occurs. Survey $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{POH}}$ is the minimum class length at which $X_{\text {POD }}$ may be achieved when the class width is constrained to the companion survey class width listed. Survey $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{POH}}$ is utilized in all cases in which a Survey Set is identified by DOEPOD.
$X_{\text {Best LCL }} \quad$ Class length exhibiting the maximum or "best" LCL. The best class length is determined by increasing the moving class width until a maximum LCL is obtained
$X_{i} \quad$ Class length $X$ at point " $i$ "
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} \quad$ Largest class length in entire data set
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad$ Class length near the mid-point between the largest and the smallest class lengths having no Misses
$X_{P} \quad 90 / 95$ POD or greater is achieve, by grouping numbers of specimens, for the range $X_{P}$ to $X_{L} . X_{P}$ is only provided when $X_{P O D}$ has been identified.

For inspector qualification, $X_{P}$ cannot be less than the largest flaw Missed. The class width of flaw set used for inspector qualification is listed as Inspector Classwidth @ Xp in the charts. The flaw sizes used for inspector qualification range from Xp to (Xp - Classwidth @ Xp ).

XPOD Class length at which the lower confidence bound (value) is 0.90 (90/95 POD) @ $95 \%$ confidence.
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{POH}=1}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{POH}}$ Class length where there are no Misses above this class length, and $\mathrm{POH}=1$ above this class length.

X $_{\text {PODopt }} \quad$ Optional existing smaller class length where $X_{\text {POD }}$ may also be achieved if additional samples are added and Hits are identified.
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{S}} \quad$ Smallest class length in the data set
UCL Upper confidence bound (value) of the false call rate @ $95 \%$ confidence
**Validated $90 / 95$ POD has been reached at a classlength, $X_{\text {POD }}$. In order to achieve 90/95 POD for the class length range between $\mathrm{X}_{\text {POD }}$ and the largest class length in the data set, $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}$, inclusively, validation at a classlength near the mid-point and largest classlength is required ${ }^{\xi}$. If, in addition, there exists a class length, $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{P}}$, where 90/95 POD or greater exits for all class lengths in the range $X_{P}$ to $X_{L}$, and $X_{P}=X_{P O D}$, and there is a sufficient number and adequate range and distribution of classlengths greater than $X_{\text {POD }}$, then the validation extends from $X_{P O D}$ to $X_{L}$. When this occurs, validation at a classlength near the mid-point and largest classlength is satisfied. ${ }^{\xi}$ WARNING: There are inspection systems that exhibit an oscillating or non-uniform POD. For example when the flaws are greater than the eddy current footprint, when large flaws are loaded to closure, or when the physics of the inspection processes changes modes over the flaw size range of interest. If flaws in these ranges or conditions are to be detected with a 90/95 POD, then samples in these ranges need to be included. When multiple base parameters are combined, e.g., (length) $x$ (width) = area, and the combine parameter (e.g., area) is used as the class length, then 90/95 POD is only valid if the inspection technology has been validated to quantitatively measure each of the base parameters, or if the inspection technology is validated to quantitatively measure the new combine parameter. When all CASE 1 or CASE 1+ requirements are met, and the above warnings have been evaluated and the upper confidence bound of the false call rate is not excessive, then the inspection system is validated between $X_{\text {POD }}$ and the largest class length $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}$ for the flaw types, materials, and structure of the test specimen set. Validated is defined here to be: "This confidence bound procedure has a probability of at least 0.95 to give a lower bound for the $90 \%$ POD point that exceeds true (unknown) $90 \%$ POD point. This is referred to as $90 / 95$ POD, and for larger flaws in the evaluation range $90 / 95$ POD is met or exceeded. DOEPOD SOFTWARE AND ANY ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTATION IS RELEASED "AS IS". THE U.S. GOVERNMENT MAKES NO WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. IN NO EVENT WILL THE U.S. GOVERNMENT BE LIABLE FOR ANY DAMAGES, INCLUDING ANY LOST PROFITS, LOST SAVINGS, OR OTHER INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF THE USE, OR INABILITY TO USE THIS SOFTWARE OR ANY ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTATION, EVEN IF INFORMED IN ADVANCE OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. THIS SOFTWARE MAY NOT BE MODIFIED, DISTRIBUTED, OR REPRODUCED.
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TABLE 3*

| materal | Strucrune | fle name |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { Best LCL } & \text { B } \\ \text { CLASS- } \\ \text { CIDTH } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Best LCL } \\ \text { CLASS- } \\ \text { LENGTH } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | xpon $\times$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | fase cal | $\underset{\substack{\text { rasee can } \\ \text { Rate }}}{ }$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length or Area } \\ & \text { per Inspection } \\ & \text { (in or in^2) }= \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\right\|_{\text {Fase canl }} ^{\text {Foperanties }}$ | False calt | Fases Cala flag | mLE Elag | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { NTIAC 90\% } \\ \text { POD occurs } \\ \text { at (inch) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { NTIAC 90/95 } \\ \text { occurs at } \\ \text { POD (inch) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\underset{\text { merio }}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22194 ¢T.87 | pale | A1001al $\mathrm{ls}^{\text {a }}$ | 6a/15 514 PMCASE 14 | 0.6100 | 02000 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | 0.970 |  | 0.7100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5890 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nameme |  | 0.2 | 0.27 | 0.61 | 1000 | Et |
| 2219 AT-87 | plate | A1001ELX $\times$ Ls | 6 6a/15 516 PMCASE2 | 0.330 | 0.2000 | 0.9000 |  |  |  | 0.9790 |  | 0.6400 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.185 | 025 |  | 0.961 | Er |
| 22984 T -87 | pate | A1001C.LXLS |  | 03360 | 0.0570 | 0.900 |  |  |  | 0.9790 |  | 0.5430 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemea notiseat |  | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.48 | 1000 | Er |
| 229 AT:-87 | pate | A1002al. $\times$ LS | 664155221 PMCASE 2 | 02980 | 0.0510 | 9002 |  |  |  | 0.9790 | 220 | 0.4890 | ${ }_{24}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mameat mobseat |  | 0.2 | 0285 |  | 1000 | Er |
| 2219017.87 | plae | A10028L $\times$ LS |  | 0.1000 | 0.0310 | .9002 |  |  |  | 0.9790 |  | ${ }_{0}^{0.3360}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemer notiseat |  | 0.075 | 0.095 | 0.33 | 0.96 | Et |
| 22904 T. 87 | pale | A1002C.Lx | $6,6 / 15$ 525 Pncase 14 | 0.153 | 0.036 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.9790 |  | ${ }_{0} .5230$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1152 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemeq notiseat |  | 0275 | 0.41 | 0.153 | 1.00 | Et |
| $22194 \mathrm{AT} \cdot 87$ | pate | A1003aL $\times$ LS | 64145527 PMCASE $^{*}$ | 0.070 | 0090 | 9077 |  |  |  | 0.6100 |  | 0.260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Naman wisisean |  | 0.055 | 0.065 | 0.096 | 1000 | ET |
| 22194 T .87 | pate | A1003ELLIS |  | 0.030 | 0.0080 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.6100 |  | 0.262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemanan mobieal | Number | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 1000 | ET |
| $22194 \mathrm{AT} \cdot 87$ | pate | A1003CLX $\times 1$. |  | 0.083 | 0.008 | 0.900 |  |  |  | 0.6100 |  | 0.262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memem notisealt |  | 0.09 | 0.115 | 0.108 | 1.000 | Et |
| 229 AT: 87 | pate | A2002al. $\times 1$. | 6441553.3 PMCASE7 |  |  |  | 8853 | 2000 | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mameq notseat |  | 0.29 |  |  |  | Er |
| 229941.87 | pate | A20022L $\times 15$ | 64415 538PMCASE7 |  |  |  | 0.8608 | 0.2000 | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.100 | ${ }_{29}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemer notise alt |  |  |  |  |  | Et |
| 221941.88 | pate | A2002CLX $\times 15$ | $6 / 4 / 15.33$ Pncast ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 0.774 | 02000 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.550 |  | 0.9880 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | verser notise eut |  | 0.095 | 0.17 | 0.474 | 1000 | ET |
| Tibala | pate | A30014. $\times$ x | $64 / 45$ S.40 PnCASE 14 | 0.250 | 0.040 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.4870 |  | ${ }^{0.2750}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.225 | -29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemat Nobseant |  | 0.175 | 021 | 0225 | 1000 | ET |
| Tigav | pate | A30011日L $\times$ Ls | 6 6/415 5.4 PMCASE2 | 02650 | 0.0800 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.4070 |  | 0.3150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mameme |  | 0.265 | 0.365 |  | 0.978 | Er |
| Tigan | pale | A3001CLXLS |  | 0.2270 | 0.020 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.4070 |  | ${ }_{0} .355$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mameat motisealt |  | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0242 | 1000 | Et |
| Tigalv | plate | A3003aLl $\times$ LS | 6 6415 5.43PMCASE7 |  |  |  | 0.879 | 0.027 | 02120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8140 | ${ }^{29}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemon notiseat |  | 0275 | ${ }_{0.36}$ |  |  | Et |
| Tiganv | pare | A3003ELLIS | 664155.45 PMCASE7 |  |  |  | 0.7411 | 0.022 | 0.270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.8120 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemat notiseat |  | 0.49 |  |  |  | ET |
| Tibala | pate | A3003C. $\times 1$. | 64415 S4. 6 PMCASE7 |  |  |  | 0.7942 | 0.0310 | 0.240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.8140 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | maner notise alt |  | 0.585 |  |  |  | ET |
| SS ans 35 | nole | AA00011 1 LS | 6a/115 5.48 PNCASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.8190 | 0.0750 | 0.175 | 0.2575 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2575 |  | 0.5150 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weme |  | 0.12 | 0.195 |  |  | ET |
| SSAMS 35 | node | A400013x | $64 / 15$ 5.49PMCASE 14 | 0.1031 | 0.059 | 0.900 |  |  |  | 0.2575 |  | 0.192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.04 | 0.065 | 0.1031 | 1000 | ET |
| SS AMS 355 | nole | Aa00014x |  |  |  |  | 5518 | 0.0070 | 0.559 | 0.2575 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 659 |  | 0.5150 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.095 | 0205 |  |  | Et |
| SSAMS 355 | nole | AA00015xis | 614155.51 PM PCASE |  |  |  | 0.593 | 0.0030 | 0.057 | 0.2575 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.063 | ${ }^{27}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemeat notiseat |  | 0.035 | 0.065 |  |  | Eт |
| SSAMS 355 | node | A400016xLS |  | 0.1031 | 0.059 | 9002 |  |  |  | 0.2575 |  | 0.192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | maner notise alt |  | 0.025 | 0.045 | 1034 | 1.00 | Et |
| SS Ans 35 | nole | As50011 XIS | 644155 55 PM PCASE 4 |  |  |  | 0.8855 | 0.0230 | 0.092 | 0.092 |  |  |  |  | 0.0092 |  | 0.0092 |  | 0.103 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weme |  | 0.075 | 0.095 |  |  | Eт |
| SSAMS 35 | node | A500013x ${ }^{\text {L }}$ | $64 / 415.55$ PnCASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.7350 | 0.0050 | 0.020 | 0.046 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.039 | 27 | . 022 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | maneme |  | 0.03 | 0.06 |  |  | Et |
| SS AMS 355 | nole | A500014x ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 0.059 | 0.0180 | 0.902 |  |  |  | 0.092 |  | 0.076 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0572 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemen |  | 0.03 | 0.035 | 0.5505 | 1.000 | ET |
| SS AMS 355 | nole | A500015xis | 64115 S57 PMCAEE 5 |  |  |  | 0.5938 | 0.0030 | 0.057 | 0.2575 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.063 | ${ }^{27}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.035 | 0.065 |  |  | Er |
| SS Ans 355 | nole | As00016x. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 0.0610 | 0.055 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.085 |  | 0.0681 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.058 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06102 | 1000 | Et |
| ${ }^{2024 A 4.537}$ | Repsplice | A6001axis | 6 6/415 5.59 PMCASEE ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 0.140 | 0.0180 | 0.8003 |  |  |  | ,8120 |  | ${ }^{0.290}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.1 | 0.14 | 1.000 | ET |
| 2024 AT 37 | lap splice | A6001arxis | 6/4/15 600 PMCASE ${ }^{14}$ | 0.140 | 0.0180 | .9002 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1060 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mamme |  | 0.09 | 0.095 | 0.114 |  |  |
| 2024 AT 37 | Rapsplice | A60018. $\times 1$. | 664156.01 PMCASE 14 | 0.090 | 0.0140 | 0.902 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 02780 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.039 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Men | 0.065 | 075 | 0.094 |  | Et |
| 2224 AT 37 | lap splice | A6001. $\times 1$ LS |  | 0.140 | 0.0180 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1060 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weman notisean |  | 0.055 | 0.0 | 0.14 |  | Et |
| 2024 AT-37 | lap splice | A60010.x.Ls | ${ }^{64 / 415.609 P M C A S E E ~} 14$ | 0.1280 | 0.0200 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.372 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemea notiseall |  | 0.105 | 0.15 | 0.128 | 1000 | ET |
| 2024 T -37 | lapsplice | A6001EXIS |  | 0.1280 | 0.020 | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | ${ }^{0.3720}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wame Notseat |  | 0.055 | - 0.1 | 0.128 | 1000 | Et |
| 2224 AT 37 | lap splice | A6001Fx.5 | 6 6a/15 6.0 PM PCASE ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 0.1200 | 0.0230 | 0.0950 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.372 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | venan notse alt |  | 0.075 | 0.9 | 0.12 |  |  |
| 2024 AT 37 | Rap splice | A60016 x 1 Ls | 64/156.077 PMCASE 4 |  |  |  | 0.8666 | 0.087 | 02760 | 0.8120 | 27 |  |  |  | 02760 |  | 02760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 016 | 0.185 |  |  | ET |
| 2204 AT $\cdot 37$ | lap spice | A600168x | 6/al15 609 PMCASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.8707 | 0.059 | 0.129 | 08120 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.320 | ${ }^{24}$ | 1.620 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | veneq wisiseall |  | 016 | 0.185 |  |  | Et |
| 2024 AT 37 | lap splice | A60014x ${ }^{\text {cis }}$ | 6 6al15 6.11 PMCASE 1* | 0.1310 | 0.050 | 0.902 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemon wobiseal |  | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.27 |  | ET |
| 2224 AT 37 | lap spilce | A60011x 15 |  | 0.1310 | 0.0250 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1305 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Naman woiseal |  | 0.105 | 0.115 | 0.131 | 1000 | ET |
| 2024 AT 37 | Ropsplice | A600113exis | 6 6a/15 6.13 PnM CasE 14 | 0.1280 | 0.020 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | ,8120 |  | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemeq notise eat |  | 0.095 | , | 0.128 | , | Et |
| 2024 AT 37 | lap splice | A6002axis |  | 0.0980 | 0.0140 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.276 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0330 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Naman woiseal | Mester | 0.075 | 0.095 | 0.094 | 1000 | Er |
| 22044 AT 37 | la spalice | A60028x.1. | 6a/15 6.15 PnCASE 2 | 0.1050 | 0.080 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.290 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemman Nobiseat |  | - 0.1 | 0.2 |  | 1000 | Et |
| 22024 T-37 | lap splice | A6002. X. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 66415617 PM CASE 1. | 0.150 | 0.0180 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Naman (emsean | andem | 0.07 | 0.0 | 0.105 | 1000 | ET |
| 202441 T.37 | Rapsplice | A6002. $\times 1$ S | 644156818 PMCAEEE ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 0.1280 | 0.020 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | 0.822 |  | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.105 | 0.115 | 0.128 | 1000 | Et |
| 202441.37 | Rap spice | A60202R XIS | 6 6a/15 6.19 PMCASEE ${ }^{14}$ | 0.150 | 0.030 | 0.907 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.3220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.153 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemmat |  | 0.095 | 0.1 | 0.154 | 1.00 | Et |
| 2224 AT 37 | lap spice | A6002exis | 66415620 PMCASE 1. | 0.1310 | 0.0250 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.8220 |  | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Naman (exsean | andem | 0.11 | 0.3 | 0.27 | 1000 | Et |
| 2024 AT 37 | lapsplice | A6002erex | ${ }_{6} 64115622$ PNCASE ${ }^{14}$ | 0.1880 | 0.0450 | 0.900 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 880 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weman notiseat |  | 011 | 0.125 | 0.186 | 1000 | Er |
| 2024 A1 37 | Rapsplice | A6002Fxis | 64415682 PnCASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.8190 | 0.070 | 0.290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.620 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vameq Notseat |  | - 02 | 0265 |  |  | et |
| ${ }^{2024 A T-37}$ | lap splice | A60220x XLS | 661156.29 PMCASE 14 | 0.1200 | 0.0230 | 9050 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1190 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Maman motasean | Men | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 1000 | Et |
| 2024 A1 37 | lap splice | A6002HxLS | $64 / 4156.25$ PMCASE1* | 0.220 | 0.0910 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Naman wobsean | andem | 0.12 | 0.135 | 0222 | 0.978 | Et |
| 220441.37 | lap splice | А6ооннr.xis | 6,415627 PNMCASE 1- | 0.1300 | 0.0250 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | 0.8220 |  | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemen notiseat |  | 0.105 | 0.12 | 0.31 | 1.000 | Et |
| 2024 A 7.37 | lap splice | A6002 X X | 64415628 PMCASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.8800 | 0.020 | 0.1270 | 0.8220 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  | 02760 |  | 1620 | ${ }^{29}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | meneme |  | 0.45 | 0.175 |  |  | ET |
| 2024 AT 37 | Rap splice | A6003axis | $6 / 4 / 158.3$ PM PCASE $1 \cdot$ | 0.1054 | 0.019 | 9002 |  |  |  | 0.817 |  | 0290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemeqay Notseeal |  | 0.085 | 0.095 | 0.1054 | 1000 | Et |
| 2224 AT 37 | lap splice | A6003 . 1 LS | 6 641156.3 PNCASE 1- | 0.114 | 0.019 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | 0.817 |  | 0290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Naman wobisean | Mespeneme | 0.095 | 0.095 | 0.114 | 1000 | ET |
| 2024 41. 37 | Rap splice | A6003cx xis | 64415632 PMCASE ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 0.036 | 0.0150 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.817 |  | 0.276 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Naman motseal | Mesmen | 0.065 | 0.08 | 0.1005 | 1000 | et |
| 2224 A1.37 | lap splice | A60030. x\|s | 6 6/415 6.3 P PMCASE 14 | 0.104 | 0.090 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.817 |  | 0.290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1090 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | maneme |  | 0.09 | 0.1 | 0.1054 | 1000 | Et |

TABLE 3＊

| materal | structure | fle name | ${ }_{\text {chen }}^{\substack{\text { Anaysis } \\ \text { Daterime }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Best LCL } \\ & \text { CLASS- } \\ & \text { LENGTH } \end{aligned}$ |  | xL\＃${ }^{\text {xm }}$ |  |  | xs ${ }^{\text {\％}}$ |  | xclit | xpoh | xoh ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ．fase cal | $\underset{\substack{\text { rasee can } \\ \text { Rate }}}{ }$ | False Call Length（in） |  |  | Forse | Fasse cals | False call fag | mLE lag |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { NTIAC 90/95 } \\ \text { occurs at } \\ \text { POD (inch) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\underset{\text { Merio }}{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 224AIT 37 | lapsplice | A6003EXX | $61 / 156.35 \mathrm{PMC}$ |  | 0.1283 | 0.0360 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.817 |  | 0.329 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | maman | memeneme |  |  | 0.14525 | 0.97 | ET |
| 2024 AT T－37 | lap splice | Atoosex．lis | 641456.37 PMC | CCASE $1^{-}$ | 0.1054 | 0.0190 | 0．900 |  |  |  | 0.817 |  | 2990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemeq notiseat |  | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.1054 | 1000 | Er |
| 2024 AT 37 | lap splice | Af003s．x．x． | ${ }_{64 / 456,388 \mathrm{PM} \text { c }}$ | CASE 2 | 02100 | 0.550 | 9000 |  |  |  | 0.817 | 0.5 | 0.510 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemaq notiseat |  | 0.9 | 0225 |  | 1.00 | Er |
| 22024 AT－37 | lapsplice | A6003 $\times$ xis | 644156．39PM | CASE 2 | 208 | 0.0250 | 9002 |  |  |  | 0.817 |  | 03719 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wanme |  | 0.4 | 0.165 |  | 1000 | Er |
| $2024 \mathrm{AT} \cdot 37$ | lapspice | A6033x ${ }^{\text {cs }}$ | 6／4156，40PMC |  | 0.0982 | 0.0160 | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.817 |  | 0290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0980 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Neman wobisean | Wemen | 0.08 | 0.0 | 0.0982 |  |  |
| 2024 4 T． 37 |  |  | 64115 6，42PMC |  | 0.096 | 0.040 |  |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.2780 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | venea notuseal | den | 0.08 | 0.095 | 0.094 |  |  |
| ${ }^{2022447.37}$ | lapspice | A600AXLIS | ${ }^{\text {644156．42PMC }}$ | CCASE 1． | 0.0920 | 0.0170 | －0．902 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.2760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Namemo nobiseat |  | 0.08 | 0.095 | 0.094 |  | et |
| 22024 AT． 37 | lap splice | A0008BXLS | 64175 6：43PMC |  | 0.140 | 0.088 | 0.901 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1070 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mama |  | 0.095 | 0.105 | 0.14 | 1.00 | et |
| 2024 AT． 37 | lap splice | A6008Bx $\times$ IS | 614156.49 PMC | case ${ }^{-}$ | 0.1050 | 0.0180 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vaman woisean | deat | 0.07 | 0.055 | 0.105 | 1.00 | Et |
| 2024 AT 37 | lapsplice | Asoact $\times$ xis | ${ }^{6} 61156.468 \mathrm{PC}$ | case 5 |  |  |  | 5670 | 0.0010 | 0.1140 | 0.8120 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.176 | ${ }^{27}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％emea motseat |  | 0.4 | 0.165 |  |  | Et |
| 2024 NT． 37 | lapsplice | Asoaccrxis | 64145648 PMC | CASE 5 |  |  |  | 0.600 | 0.0010 | 0.0980 | 0.8120 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.170 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Uex | 0.3 | 0.14 |  |  | Et |
| 22024 AT．37 | lap splice | A60000x．x． | 641156.49 PMC | CASE 14 | 0.1050 | 0.080 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.2910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memem nobseat |  | 0.09 | 0.1 | 0.105 | 1000 | Er |
| 2024 AT 37 | lap splice | As002EXIS | $6,4156.50 \mathrm{Pm}$ | CASE 14 | 0.330 | 0.050 | ．9902 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.135 | ${ }_{29}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wmanem notiseall |  | 0.1 | 0.125 | 0.31 | 1.00 | Et |
| 2024 AT 37 | lap splice | Ab0afexts | 641156．52PMC | CASE 1－ | 0.1050 | 0.080 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Neman wotseal | Me Enegeme | 0.065 | 0.075 | 0.05 |  | et |
|  | lapsplice | Asoofer xis | 641156．53PMC |  | 0.150 | 0.080 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Naman mobiseal | mesmeme | 0.07 | 0.08 |  |  |  |
| 22024 AT．37 | ${ }^{\text {ap spmpee }}$ | A600046x XLS | 64.156549 PMC | CASE ${ }^{\text {－}}$ | O．1．300 | 0.0680 | 0．90011 |  |  |  | 0．8120 |  | －0．2900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 为 |  | 0.15 | 0.185 | 0．1．09 | 10．909 |  |
| 2024 AT 37 | lap splice | A6004＋x | ${ }_{6} 64156.56 \mathrm{PMC}$ | CCASE 1－ | 0.1890 | 0.0660 | 0．9001 |  |  |  | 0.8120 |  | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Namme nombeal |  | 0.135 | 0.16 | 0.189 | 0.97 | ET |
| 2024 AT 37 | lap splice | A6004］xis | ${ }_{6} 64156.57 \mathrm{PM}$ | CCAE 14 | 0.1760 | 0.039 | 0.902 |  |  |  | 0．8120 |  | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.170 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memen |  | 0.105 | 0.12 | 0.176 | 1000 | et |
| STEEL 4330 | plate | Aroonlux | 641156．58 PMC | CASEE 7 |  |  |  | 598 | 0.0000 | 0.0938 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48000 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemeq notiseat |  |  |  |  |  | Et |
| STEEL 330 | plate | A700日B $\times 15$ | 64157700 PM | CASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.6070 | 0.050 | 0.093 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.8060 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wameq notiseall |  |  |  |  |  | Er |
| STEEL 4330 | pate | Aroocicux | 6，4157．03PMC | case 7 |  |  |  | 0.5493 | 0.0000 | 0.093 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48060 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemeq nobiseat |  |  |  |  |  | ET |
| STEL 1330 | pate | A70034LILS | 644157.05 PMC | CASET 7 |  |  |  | 0.6356 | 0.0830 | 0.3500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.8000 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Er |
| STEEL 4330 | pate | A7003ELX $\times$ Ls | 6，4157．07PMC | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.5493 | 0.0010 | 0.1960 | 24030 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 16000 |  | 48060 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempan mobiseat |  |  |  |  |  | Et |
| STEL 1330 | plate | A7003C．L． LS $^{\text {a }}$ | 641157.09 PM | CASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.6878 | 0.0520 | 0.2480 | 24830 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 16030 |  | 4.8060 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemen |  |  |  |  |  | ET |
| SSAMS 355 | nole | A8001 $\times$ x | 644157.11 PM | CASE ${ }^{-}$ | 0.028 | 0.0050 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.3425 |  | 0.161 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.025 | 0.03 | 0.04009 | 100 | Et |
| SS AMS 355 | nole | A8002．．xis | 641157．12PMC | Case ${ }^{\text {ax }}$ | 0.047 | 0.0090 | 0.922 |  |  |  | 0.325 |  | 0.161 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.014 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vememen |  | 0.01 | 0.015 | 0.0146 |  | Et |
| SS AMS 355 | nole |  | 641157.16 PMC |  | 0.0147 | 0.0040 | 0.912 |  |  |  | 0.3425 |  | 0.161 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Naman woisean |  | 0.01 | 0.015 | 0.01468 |  | Et |
| SS AMS 355 | nole | Aeoonlulis | 64／15722PMC | CASE 14 | 0.087 | 0.090 | 0.9950 |  |  |  | 0.325 |  | 0.1684 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0582 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0．05873 | 1000 | ET |
| SSAMS 355 | nole | Aeoos．$\times 1$ L | 64415723 PM | CASE 14 | 0.0575 | 0.080 | 0.9100 |  |  |  | 0.3425 |  | 0.168 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0567 | ${ }_{29}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wanem Notseeal |  | 0.03 | 0.035 | 0.0575 | 1000 | Et |
| SS AMS 355 | nole | Ae00eLxx | 64145724 PMC |  | 0.087 | 0.090 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | 0.3425 |  | 0.1684 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0581 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MLE Divergence } \\ & \text { Warning: Initial results } \\ & \text { listed. } \end{aligned}$ | 0.04 | 0.045 | 0.0873 |  | ET |
| 229 AT－87 | stringer panel | A0001313．x．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 64115726 PMC | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.768 | 0.0020 | 0.0650 | 0．0950 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0800 |  | 0.1900 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.12 |  |  |  | ET |
| 229041.87 | strinoer panel | A00013） Ns $^{\text {a }}$ | 6，41572727MC | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.844 | 0.0090 | 0.5690 | 0.680 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.680 |  | 1.360 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempa notiseat |  |  |  |  |  | et |
| 229091.87 | stinoer panel | A00233］．x． | 64415729 PM | CASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.844 | 0.0090 | 0.055 | 0.0950 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.090 | ${ }^{26}$ | 0.1000 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wememe |  | 0.055 | 0.065 |  |  | ет |
| 221901 T．87 | strinerer panel |  | 64415738 PM | CASET 7 |  |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{0.8827}$ | 0.0190 | 0.579 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3680 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.375 | 0.51 |  |  | Et |
| 221941.88 | strinereranel | A003330．x． | 641157322PM | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.7933 | 0.0020 | 0.0570 | 0.0950 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.076 | 26 | 0.190 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemer nobiseat |  | 0.05 | 0.24 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Er }}$ |
| 229941 T .87 | stinioger panel | A003331 $\times$ x | 644157.34 PMC | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.799 | 0.0020 | 0.1950 | 0.680 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.6880 | 26 | 1.3680 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | et |
| 22904 T －87w 2319 | wed LP | Aa0013）$\times$ xs | $64 / 1573.35 \mathrm{MM}$ | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.6070 | 0.0040 | 0.680 | 12710 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 12770 | ． 26 | 25420 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemen |  |  |  |  |  | et |
| 2291.41 T．87we319 | wed LP | AAOO23） Ls | 641457．37PMC | case 7 |  |  |  | 0.769 | 0.0000 | 09380 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.542 | ${ }^{29}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Er |
| 22904 H －87\％ P 2319 | wed LP | Aa0033）$\times$ Ls | $64 / 157$ 7．40 PMC | CasE 2 | 0.9450 | 0.050 | 9050 |  |  |  | 12710 |  | 11.560 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1000 | Et |
| $2219 A T$ T－87w 2319 | wedel LC | A8Bou（3）$\times$ xs | 64／1577．3PPM | CasE 7 |  |  |  | 0.6070 | 0.0030 | 0.2870 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23760 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Et |
|  | wed LIFC | A8Boz（3）$\times$ Ls | 64／157 7.4 PPM |  |  |  |  | 0.6070 | 0.0330 | 0.2870 | 11880 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 11.880 |  | 23780 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Et |
|  | weld LFC | ABoo3（3）$\times$ xs |  |  |  |  |  | 0.7206 0.847 0. | 0.0060 | 0.1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 237600 | 229 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2219 AT －87M 2319 | wed Tre | Acoolilu $\times$ xs | 644157888 PM | CASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.847 | 0.5000 | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28700 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.45 | 0.67 |  |  | er |
| $221941.8 .87 \times 239$ | wedd tec |  | $64 / 157.49 \mathrm{PMC}$ | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.769 | 0.0040 | 0.482 | 14350 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9890 | 23 | 28700 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 何 |  | 0.465 | 0.74 |  |  | et |
| 221904 T．87w 2319 | meda TeC | Acoos3 3 xs | ${ }^{6414575009 M C}$ | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.866 | 0.2000 | 1.076 | 14350 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.4350 |  | 28700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mamen |  | 0.75 |  |  |  | ET |
| 2219 AT－877me39 | wed liss Lec． | CA00013L Lxs | 644157．52PMC | CASE 1－ | 0.3800 | 0.020 | O50 |  |  |  | 1.5620 |  | 11190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 为 | 0.105 | 0.3 | 0.38 | 1000 | ET |
| 221941 T．87w2319 | wed lush Lec． | （capore3u $\times$ ss | ${ }^{6414157.58 P M C}$ | CASE ${ }^{-}$ | 0380 | 0.020 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | 1.5620 |  | 11190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemeq wobiseat |  | 0.185 | 024 | 0.348 | 1000 | Et |
| 221941 －87wez319 | wed luss LFC． | （ca003（3）$\times$ s | 6441580.6 PM | CasE 1＊ | 0.3880 | 0.020 | 0．9050 |  |  |  | 1.5620 |  | 11190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nemeat |  | 0.11 | 0.135 | 0.348 | 1000 | Et |
| 2219 AT T．87w 2319 | wed lish Trec． | EAEOOI3 2 Ls | 64／15 810 PMC | CASE 14 | 02350 | 0.0570 | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.4950 |  | 0.3000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 02240 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | maneq Notseeal |  | 0.185 | 0.23 | 0.235 | 1.00 | Et |
| 229 AT T－87m2319 | wed flus TFC． | CAEOO23） | $64 / 158.11$ PMC | CASE ${ }^{\text {P／}}$ | 0.260 | ．088 | 0.050 |  |  |  | 0.450 |  | 0.3810 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2530 | ${ }^{29}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vaman wobisean |  | － 02 | 023 | 0.267 | 1000 | ET |
| 2219 AT －87702319 | wed flush TEC | CAEOO3（3）Ls | 644158.11 PMC | CASE ${ }^{\text {He }}$ | 02350 | 0.0570 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | 0.4950 |  | 0.3000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.005 |  | 0.235 | 1.00 | Et |
| STEL 4330 | pate | B100Aa．xis | 64／158．12PMC | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.866 | 0.0090 | 0.0620 | 02100 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2100 |  | 0.420 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemer motise al |  |  |  |  |  | мт |
| STEEL 2330 | pale | B10014L $\times$ LS | $64 / 158.14 \mathrm{PMC}$ | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.8855 | 0.0500 | 0.230 | 20030 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.630 |  | 48060 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemen |  | 0.695 |  |  |  | mт |
| STEL 2330 | pate | B100180．x | 644158．15PMC | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.8772 | 0.0380 | 0.052 | 02100 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2100 |  | 0.4200 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | men meme |  |  |  |  |  | мт |
| STEEL 1330 | pale | B10018LX $\times$ ls | ${ }_{6} 61 / 158.17 \mathrm{PMC}$ | case 6 |  |  |  | 0．872 | 0.052 | 0.230 | 24030 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 12270 |  | 8000 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wememe |  | 0.4 |  |  |  | мт |
| STEEL 4330 | Pate | B1001C．X．x． | $64 / 158.19 \mathrm{PM}$ C | CASE 2 | 0.060 | 0.0390 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.2100 |  | 0.1173 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.978 | mt |
| STEEL 2330 | pate |  | 64／158．99PMC | CASE 2 | 0.2300 | 0.0630 | 0．9002 |  |  |  | 24030 | 2418 | 1.600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nameme |  | 1037 |  |  | 1000 | мт |

TABLE 3*

| materal | Structure | fule name | $\underbrace{\text { caselio }}_{\substack{\text { Anaysis } \\ \text { Daterime }}}$ |  |  |  | Best.ce | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { Best LCL } \\ \text { CLASS- } \\ \text { WIDTH } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Best LCL } \\ \text { CLASS- } \\ \text { LENGTH } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | xcle | xoh | $\times \mathrm{monh}{ }^{2}$ | 2xL |  |  | xss 4 | xpodopt |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Fasse cal }}$ Rate | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Fases call } \\ \text { ength (in) }}]{\text { a }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length or Area } \\ & \text { per Inspection } \\ & (\text { (in or in } \wedge 2)= \end{aligned}$ | $\|$Fasese alil <br> Opporunites | false cals | False call flag | meklag | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { NTIAC 90\% } \\ \text { POD occurs } \\ \text { at (inch) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { NTIAC 90/95 } \\ \text { occurs at } \\ \text { POD (inch) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STELL 430 | plate | B103AD. $\times$ Ls | 6a/15 820 PNCASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.8514 | 0.0060 | 0.063 | 0.2100 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.156 | , | 0.4200 | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wempen Nobse all |  |  |  |  |  | мt |
| Steel 3330 | plate | 810934.x\|s | 64115822 PNCCASE 2 | 02330 | 0.050 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 24030 | 1 | 1.6030 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe Nombeal |  | 026 | 0.465 |  | 1000 | мт |
| STEL 4330 | pate | 8100380.x.s | 64715 az3 PMCASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.881 | 0.0120 | 663 | 02100 | - 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2100 | ${ }^{28}$ | 0.420 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemems woble eal |  |  |  |  |  | мт |
| STELL430 | pate | 81033 X $\times 15$ | 64145825 PMCASES 2 | 0230 | 0.590 | 0900 |  |  |  | 24030 | ${ }_{27}$ | 1603 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vematanememean |  | 011 | ${ }_{0} 135$ |  | 1000 | wT |
| STEEL 3330 | pate | B103sc..x | 64115828 PMCASES 6 |  |  |  | 0.8813 | 0.012 | 0.063 | 0.2100 | , |  |  |  |  |  | 0.153 | ${ }^{23}$ | 0.420 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mamme |  | 0.045 |  |  |  | мт |
| STELL 330 | pate | B103sc. x\|s |  | 0230 | 0.590 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 24030 |  | 1.630 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.12 | 0235 | 0234 | 1000 | mr |
| SS AMS 355 | nole | $82001 \times 1$ x | 64/115829PMCASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.8190 | 0.075 | 0.175 | 0.255 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  | 02575 | ${ }^{24}$ | 0.550 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weame wible eal |  | 0.15 | 0.175 |  |  | mт |
| SS Ans 355 | nole | 82022x 15 |  | 0.1031 | 0.056 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.255 |  | 0.192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wememe wobleseal |  | 0.04 | 0.065 | 0.1031 | 1000 | мт |
| SS AMS 355 | note | 82033x15 | $64 / 158331$ PMCASE 5 |  |  |  | 0.3684 | 0.0010 |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.063 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wempe Nobleeal | Me | 0.005 | 0.07 |  |  |  |
| SSAMS 355 |  |  | 6 6415 83 Pr PMCASE 5 |  |  |  | 0.3684 | 0.0010 | 0.0512 |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.045 | 0.07 |  |  |  |
| SS AMS 355 | nole | $183011 \times 15$ | 64415832PMCASE 7 |  |  |  | 0883 | 0.0190 | 0.0868 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1003 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wempan wobleal | Neam mat ens | 0.24 |  |  |  | mt |
| SS Ams 355 | nole | 830012x< | 6a/15 83 PPMCASE 4 |  |  |  | 0.885 | 0.023 | 0.002 | 0.092 |  |  |  |  | 0.002 |  | 0.002 |  | 0.1003 | 329 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe sobiseall |  | 0.075 | 0.095 |  |  | мт |
| SS AMS 355 | nole | $88001 \times$ xis | 6 6415 835 PrMCASE 5 |  |  |  | 0.472 | 0.000 | 0.063 | 0.325 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0821 | ${ }^{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wememe wible an |  | 0.75 | 0.26 |  |  | мт |
| 22994 T.87 | pate | C1001ALX $\times$ | 6 6415 838 PMCASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.8609 | 0.020 | 0.2610 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.950 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | zempe |  | 0.35 | 0.63 |  |  | ${ }^{\text {pr }}$ |
| 229094.87 | plate | cloosexx | 6/4115 8.40 PMCASES 6 |  |  |  | 0.8388 | ${ }^{0.0550}$ | 0.320 | 0.970 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.6100 | 22 | 1.950 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wememe woble ell |  | 0.44 | 0.695 |  |  | pr |
| 229 AT.87 | pate | cloorcux $\times$ | 6a/15 a/2 PNM Case 14 | 0.5390 | 0.2000 | 0.9774 |  |  |  | 0.9700 |  | 0.7100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.530 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe wobseal |  | 0.315 | 0.61 | 0.538 | 1000 | ${ }^{\text {pt }}$ |
| 22994.8 .87 | pale | C102024.x.s |  | 0.290 | 0.049 | 0.9008 |  |  |  | 0.970 |  | 0.5430 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.29 | 1000 | pr |
| $22994.87^{\text {P }}$ | pale | ${ }^{\text {c1028L } \times \text { x }}$ | 61415 847 PMMCASE $1 \cdot$ | 0.1000 | 0.030 | 0.900 |  |  |  | 0.970 |  | ${ }^{0.3290}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemens wotise ant |  | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.261 | 0.967 | PT |
| 22994T.87 | pate | cloozcux |  | 0.2980 | 0.0510 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.970 |  | 0.5930 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vememen wobeean | Men memene | 0.11 | 0.45 | 0.508 | 1000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemm |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22904 T. 87 | pate | C1003ALX $\times$ Ls | 6.44158 .51 PMCASEE 1 . | 0.030 | 0.0080 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.6100 |  | 02620 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | men | deal | 0.02 | 0.035 | 0.86 | 1000 | PT |
| 22994 T . 87 | pate | cliosex.xis | 64145856 PNCASE2 | 0.1020 | 0.0170 | - 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.6100 |  | ${ }_{0} 02200$ | ${ }_{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe wobleal |  | 0.08 | 0.095 | 0278 | 1000 | + |
| 22994 T.87 | plae | closacx $\times$ x | $64 / 158.57$ PM Case $^{1}$ | 0.080 | 0.0130 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.6100 |  | ${ }_{0} 0260$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe wobean |  | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.086 | 0.978 | ¢T |
| 227941.87 | pate | c2002AL. 1 Ls | 64145900 PMCASE7 |  |  |  | 0.7068 | 0.0520 | 0.5300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11000 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe wobsealt |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {pt }}$ |
| 2299 NT.87 | pale | C20282L X 1 S |  | 0.530 | 0.060 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.5500 |  | 0.5300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wememe wobise all |  | 0.095 | 0.17 | 0.534 | 1000 | Pr |
| 229 AT.87 | pate |  | 6 64159.03PNCASE 2 | 0.770 | 0.2000 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.5500 |  | 0.480 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe wibueal |  | 0.22 | 0.355 |  | 1000 | pt |
| Tramav | pate | Cs301AL. $\times$ LS | 6al15909PMCASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.798 | 0.0180 | 0.199 | 0.4070 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3000 | ${ }^{27}$ | 0.840 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempen mibeseal |  | 0.75 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {pt }}$ |
| Tramav | pate | C33013LX 1 S | 64415906 PMCASE 2 | 0.1950 | . 0560 | 002 |  |  |  | 0.0970 |  | 0.300 | ${ }^{17}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempers mobiseall |  | 0.12 | 0.175 | 0.32 | 1000 | pt |
| Tigala | pate | c3001CLILS | 6al15 907 PMCASE4 |  |  |  | 08788 | 0.0950 | 0.350 | 0.480 | 23 |  |  |  | 0.330 |  | 0.3290 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe moteseal |  | 0.4 | 0325 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {pr }}$ |
| Tranav | pate | C39024. $\times 1$. | 6a/159.08PMCASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.886 | 0.0580 | 02120 | 0.0070 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3550 | 10 | 0.810 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemens wibeean |  | 0.3 | 0.32 |  |  | ${ }^{\text {PT }}$ |
| Teanav | pate | c3022Lx 1 S | 664159.10 PMCASE 2 | 0.100 | 0530 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.4070 |  | 0.300 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wememe woble eal |  | 012 | 0.165 | 0.315 | 1000 | pr |
| tigav | plate | C30202LX 1 S | 6a/159.11 PMCASE 14 | 02160 | 0.030 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.4070 |  | 02650 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemome notusean | come | 0.08 | 0.1 | 0216 | 1000 | PT |
| Tisava | plate | C30303ALX | 6 6al15912 PMCASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.895 | 0.1000 | 0.350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.840 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Uememe nobseal |  | 0.185 | 0.465 |  |  | ${ }^{\text {pr }}$ |
| Tigan | pabe | C30033LX 1 S | 64115993PMCASE2 | 0.220 | 0.0520 | 0.9950 |  |  |  | 0.4070 |  | 0.300 | ${ }^{18}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wempe wobleal |  | 0.155 | 0.25 |  | 1.00 | ${ }^{\text {pr }}$ |
| Tigan | pate | craoscax $\times$ ¢ | 6/4159 914 PNCASES 2 | 0.262 | 0.052 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | 0.4070 | ${ }_{58} 0$ | 0.300 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wememe wobleeal |  | 0.155 | 0305 |  | 1000 | pr |
| SS Ans 355 | nole | catoon1xis | 6a/159915 PMCASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.8074 | 0.073 | 0.1752 | 0.2575 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2575 | ${ }_{24}$ | 0.5150 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vememe wise eal |  | 0.33 | 0.195 |  |  | ${ }^{\text {pt }}$ |
| SSAMS 355 | nole | catoon2x | 6/4159916 PMCASE 5 |  |  |  | 0.5493 | 0.0070 | 0.078 | 0.2575 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.058 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempes wotue eal |  | 0.055 | 0.12 |  |  | ${ }^{\text {PT }}$ |
| SSAMS 355 | node | catoon 3 x | 6/4159918 PMCASE 5 |  |  |  | 0.5938 | 0.0070 | 0.068 | 0.2575 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0858 | ${ }^{27}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wememe wibeeal |  | 0.1 | 0.185 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {pr }}$ |
| SS AMS 355 | nole | catoon $4 \times 1.5$ | 641415919 PMCASE4 |  |  |  | 0.8868 | 0.0900 | 0.192 | 0.2575 | 19 |  |  |  | 0.192 |  | 0.192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemes wobee an |  | 0.135 | 0.225 |  |  | ¢T |
| SS Ans 355 | node | C500011 X 15 | 6 64115920 PMCASE 4 |  |  |  | 0.8855 | 0.020 | 0.092 | 0.092 |  |  |  |  | 0.092 |  | 0.0092 |  | 0.1803 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memen |  | 0.085 | 0.1 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {pr }}$ |
| SS AMS 355 | nole | C50012x | 6al15922 PMCASE4 |  |  |  | 0.885 | 0.023 | 0.092 | 0.092 |  |  |  |  | 0.092 |  | 0.002 |  | 0.1083 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe wobleaut |  | 0.055 | 0.105 |  |  | ${ }^{\text {pr }}$ |
| SS AMS 355 | nole | C50014x ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 6a/15929PPMCASE4 |  |  |  | 0.885 | 0.023 | 0.092 | 0.092 | , |  |  |  | 0.092 |  | 0.002 |  | 0.1003 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempen wobleat |  | 0.095 | 0.12 |  |  | ${ }^{\text {PT }}$ |
| SSAMS 355 | nole | c500016x.1. | 61415928 PMCACAE 7 |  |  |  | 0273 | 0.0150 | 0.0008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.135 | 522 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe wobleseat |  | 0.055 |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {PT }}$ |
| Stel 1330 | pate | C6001ALX | 64415927PMCASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.7942 | 0.0100 | 0.153 | 20830 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.630 | ${ }^{28}$ | 40800 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wempe wobleeal |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {pT }}$ |
| STEL 4330 | pate | C6013LX X | 64415930 PrMCASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.792 | 0.0100 | 0.1153 | 24830 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.030 | - 28 | 48000 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe wobesean |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {PT }}$ |
| STEEL 230 | pale | csoocclux | 64115932 PMCASEE 6 |  |  |  | 0.7982 | 0.0100 | 0.1153 | 2.4030 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.630 | ${ }^{28}$ | 4.8080 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempen woble eal |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {PT }}$ |
| STEL 2330 | pale | c602ALXL | 61415933 PMCASE2 | 02500 | .0700 | 0.902 |  |  |  | 20030 |  | 16030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe Moblese all |  | 0.1 |  |  | 1000 | pt |
| Stel 4330 | plate | C6028LX XLS | 644159935 PMCASE1. | 0.0560 | 0.0400 | 0.900 |  |  |  | 24030 |  | 1.6030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | veame woblesean |  | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 1000 | PT |
| STEEL 4330 | pate | c6002clux |  | 02370 | 0.052 | 0.9950 |  |  |  | 24030 |  | 1.6030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemome notuseal |  | 0.055 | 0.05 | 0.23 | 1000 | pr |
| STEL 4330 | pate | C6003ALX $\times 1$ | 664159.37 PMCASE 2 | 02890 | 0.0580 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 24030 | 271. | 1.6030 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe wobseat |  | 0265 | 0.6 |  | 1000 | Pr |
| STEL 2330 | pate | C6033LX $\times$ S | 64455938 PMCASE 2 | 02880 | 0.0880 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 2.4830 | 24.1 | 1.6030 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wembe wibleseal |  | 0.155 | 0255 |  | 1.00 | PT |
| STEL 4330 | pale | c603ccıx | 6 6/415 9.40 PMCACE $*^{*}$ | 0.230 | 0.050 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 24030 |  | 16030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vememe woble eal |  | 0.11 | 0.175 | ${ }^{023}$ | 1000 | pt |
| STEL 3390 | node | crooux xis | 6/41159.41 PMCASE 5 |  |  |  | 0.5938 | 0.000 | 0.078 | 425 | ${ }^{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  | .0881 | ${ }^{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemome notuseal |  | 0215 | 0.36 |  |  | pr |
| STELC 2330 | node | crooelx. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6a/15 9,93PMCACASE 4 |  |  |  | 0.844 | 0.090 | 0213 | 3325 | 27 |  |  |  | 0213 |  | 0.192 | ${ }_{13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nemome notisean | cemememen | 0.35 | 0.58 |  |  | pt |
| STEL 2330 | nole | croos.x.x. | 64/159945PNCASE4 |  |  |  | 0.779 | 0.0930 | 0.2512 | 0.325 | 27 |  |  |  | 0.2512 |  | 02512 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weame notusean |  | 0.3 | 0.505 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {PT }}$ |
| 22991.88 | striner ranel | couori30.xs | 6al159947 PMCASE 2 | 0.0530 | 20 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | 0.0950 | 170 | 0.800 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.075 | 0.2 |  | 100 | ${ }_{\text {pt }}$ |
| 2299 NT.87 | stinger penel |  | 6 6/15 9949PMCASE 2 | 02780 | 0.0050 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | 0.680 |  | 0.5700 | ${ }_{17}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wememe wible ent |  | 0.45 |  |  | 1000 | PT |
| 229041 - 87 | Striner eranel | caozez30.xs | 6 64159 905 PMCASE7 |  |  |  | 0.8739 | 0.0030 | 0.038 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe |  | 0.08 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {pr }}$ |
| 2229017.87 | strineer ranel |  | 64145951 PNCCASE 2 | 02200 | 0.068 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | 0.6800 | - 260 | 0.4700 | ${ }_{23}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.355 |  |  | 1000 | PT |
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| materal | Structure | fle name |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Xpod } \\ \text { CLASS. } \\ \text { LENGTH } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \|cele |  | Best.ce | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { Best LCL } \\ \text { CLASS- } \\ \text { C } \\ \text { WIDTH } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Best LCL } \\ \text { CLASS- } \\ \text { LENGTH } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | xL.. $\times$ m |  | xm* ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | xs ${ }^{\text {, }}$ | xct | Xctel | on |  |  | 2xL |  | xss 4 x | xoodope |  | , Fials cal | $\xrightarrow{\text { Fasse cal }}$ | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Fases call } \\ \text { Lengnt (in) }}]{\text { a }}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Length or Area } \\ \text { per Inspection } \\ (\text { in or in^2) }= \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\mid$ | Secal | False Call flag | MLE | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { NTIAC 90\% } \\ \text { POD occurs } & \text { g } \\ \text { at (inch) } & \text { F } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { NTIAC 90/95 } \\ \text { occurs at } \\ \text { POD (inch) } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 219 AT-87me319 | wedi fec | о8003(3) $\times$ x | 6/515 2.31 MaM | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.866 | 0.0850 | 02380 | 4350 | ${ }^{26}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1076 | 20 | 28700 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memat motaseant |  |  |  |  |  | UT |
| T.87w339 | wed ment lec | cains | S15 232 am | case. | 0 O50 | Oose | 690 |  |  |  | 02750 |  | 02150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wamana Wotiseant | Me meame | 003 | 0 S | 005 |  | ut |
|  | wedd fush LLeC | ocoor(3) \s | 65152327 AM | CASE ${ }^{-}$ | 03890 | 0.220 | 0.950 |  |  |  | 1.560 |  | 1.1190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memme |  | 018 | 0215 | 0.348 | 1000 | ut |
| 2219 AT -.87w2319 | wed fush LFC | ecooz30 Xs | $6 / 51515243 \mathrm{MC}$ | CASE2 | 0.550 | 0.0000 | 0950 |  |  |  | 02770 | 2602 | 02150 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Namea nombe ent |  | 0.06 | 0.105 |  | 1000 | ur |
| 2219 ut. T /7w2319 | weod fush L Lec | ocooz23 M $\times$ s | 6S515 2477 MMC | Case 1 - | 0.390 | 0.0290 | 0.9950 |  |  |  | 1.5620 |  | 10610 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.235 | 0.36 | 0.895 | 1000 | ut |
| 2219 AT T-87w2319 | wedd fush L Lec | ocooz30) $\times$ s | 65515 250 AMC | CASE ${ }^{1}$ - | 0.0730 | 0.0080 | 0.950 |  |  |  | 02780 |  | 0.2150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memem mobseant |  | 0.045 | 0.06 | 0.073 | 1000 | ut |
| 229.911 -.77w2319 | wed fushtrec | ocoos3) xs | 65515254 anc | CASE 1- | 0.3980 | 0.029 | 0.950 |  |  |  | 1.562 |  | 11190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wamay nobiseat |  | 0.185 | 0235 | 0.348 | 1.000 | ut |
| 2219 AT T-87w232 | wedd fust Trec | (0000130 X Xs | 655153300 AMC | Case 6 |  |  |  | 0.760 | 0.020 | 0.150 | 0250 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 02150 | 26 | 0.430 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempa nobiseat |  |  |  |  |  | ut |
|  | wed fust TFe | O00013) Ls | 6/515 3.01 anc | CASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.760 | 0.0580 | 0.2970 | 0.450 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 04550 | ${ }^{26}$ | 0.9900 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wememe |  | 0.64 |  |  |  | ut |
|  | wed flust TFe | (00023(3) Xx | 6515 3 O2aMC | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.8668 | 0.0390 | 0.1100 | 0.250 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 02150 | ${ }_{26}$ | 0.4300 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wearea notise ent |  | 0.13 |  |  |  | ur |
| 229.9 T 1 - 87 Tw2319 | wed flust Trec | Ooooz(3) Lxs | 655153.33 anc | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.820 | 0.0610 | 02390 | 0.450 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.459 | ${ }_{26}$ | 0.9900 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemen notiseat |  | 0.37 |  |  |  | ut |
| 221.9 AT - 87 T W2319 | wed flust Tre |  | 655153.04 anc | CASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.352 | 0.020 | 0.060 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3300 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemer weise ent |  |  |  |  |  | ur |
| 2219 AT T-87w2319 | wedd fuss TFC | (00033(3) $\times$ xs | 655153.55 AM | Case 7 |  |  |  | 02713 | 0.0010 | 0.1780 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9900 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mameat motiseant |  | 0.005 |  |  |  | ur |
| NT718 and faxwes. | Spate | E100AL $\times$ x | 6/515 3 307 aMC | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.509 | 0.0220 | 0.190 | 0.420 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.420 |  | 0.840 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vt |
| NT718 and haves | Spate | El0224. $\times$ ¢ | 6515153.10 MMC | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.760 | 0.220 | 03010 | 0.4220 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4220 | ${ }^{28}$ | 840 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wamem nobiseat |  | 0.595 |  |  |  | vT |
| SS AMS 355 | nole | E2006x. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 65153 3.14 AMC | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.7206 | 0.0180 | 0.060 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5150 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | maman motesean |  | 028 | 0.75 |  |  | $\mathrm{v}^{\text {v }}$ |
| 221941 T.87 | pate | floounaxis | 65153313 ma |  |  |  |  | 0.6518 | 0.0100 | 0.527 | 0.809 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.809 |  | 1.6182 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | meman |  |  |  |  |  | RT |
| 221941 T. 87 | pate | Flooomax | 6/5153.14an |  |  |  |  | 0.472 | 0.0100 | 0.513 | 0.8091 | ${ }^{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.099 |  | 1.682 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemay wobseal | mesememe |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941 T. 87 | Plate | Floooctaxis | 6F5153316 amC | CASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.5938 | ${ }_{0} 0.0050$ | 0.4900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.6182 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 为 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941 T 87 | plate | F1002aAx ${ }^{\text {ces }}$ | 6/5153 377amC | Case 14 | 0.5933 | 0.0680 | 0.900 |  |  |  | 0.809 |  | 0.650 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5700 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.605 | 0.75 | 0.58333 | 1000 | ${ }^{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941 T. 87 | pale | Flioozeax | 65153519 AM | CASE ${ }^{-}$ | 0.673 | 0.200 | 0.917 |  |  |  | 0.809 |  | 0.7167 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memeq amiseant |  | 0.48 | 0.555 | 0.6727 | 0.970 | RT |
| 221901 T .87 | pale | F1002caxis | 6/5153 32 AMC | CASE 2 | 0.5933 | 0.060 | 0.900 |  |  |  | 0.809 | 45 | 0.650 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memman motiseant |  | 0.595 |  |  | 1000 | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 2299 AT.87 | pate | F1003axax | 65515323 AM | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.899 | ${ }_{0} 0.300$ | 0.809 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16182 | ${ }^{29}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempa notise an |  | 0.4 | 0.475 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221904 T.87 | pate | Flooseax | 66515325 AMC | CASE $1^{-}$ | 0.5045 | 0.050 | 0.900 |  |  |  | 8809 |  | 0.6000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wanme notiseat |  | 0.435 | 0.525 | 5333 | 1000 | RT |
| 221941 T .87 | pate | F1003saxis | 65515326 AMC | CASE ${ }^{\text {He}}$ | 0.600 | 0.0820 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.809 |  | 0.6833 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.597 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.505 | 0.65 | 0.6 | 100 | ${ }_{\text {Rt }}$ |
| 229041 T .87 | pate | F10061a0x $\times$ s | 66515328 AMC | CASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.039 | 0.0000 | 0.0370 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0850 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemeat motiseat |  | 0.128 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221904 T. 87 | pate | F106014. ${ }^{\text {lis }}$ | 651515330 MM | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.028 | 0.020 | 02800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.680 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Naman wobsean |  |  |  |  |  | RT |
| 221941 T.87 | pate | F1000180 $\times$ x | 66515332 MMC | CasE 7 |  |  |  | 0.0064 | 0.0010 | 0.0270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0860 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Naman wotaseal | meneme |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 27941.87 |  | E06018 $\times 15$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0012 |  | 0050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Namam notaseal | Mis ineeme |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19at. 87 |  | Fi000ntexs | 65n5335anc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memememe |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229041.87 | pate | Finoorcoxis | 655153.35 Ma | CasE 7 |  |  |  | 0.012 | 000 | 0.010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.080 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | maney |  |  |  |  |  | RT |
| 2219017.87 | pate | Fi0601clux | $65 / 5153.35 \mathrm{MC}$ |  |  |  |  | 0.012 | 0.0010 | 0.590 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.680 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Naman womesean |  |  |  |  |  | RT |
| 2299 T. 87 | pate | F1062atox $\times$ S | 65515337 AM | CASE 1* | 0.330 | 0.0110 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.030 |  | 0.0430 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0420 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weme |  | 0.04 | 0.055 |  | 1.00 | RT |
| 2219 NT T. 87 | pate | F10624LXIS | 65515337 MNC | CASE 4 |  |  |  | 0.8931 | 0.2000 | 0.320 | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  | 0.320 |  | 0.320 |  | 0.6800 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.135 | 0.19 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Rr }}$ |
| 221941.88 | plate | F1000280x $\times$ ¢ | 65515338 AMC | CASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.8887 | 0.0120 | 0.390 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0880 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wameat weise at |  | 0.035 | 0.045 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941 T. 87 | pate | F106028Lx $\times 15$ | 65515339 AM | CasE 1* | 0.5300 | 0.2000 | 0.9152 |  |  |  | 0.970 |  | 0.7100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5370 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.345 | 0.465 | 0.53 | 1000 | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 229041 T 87 | plate | Fin602coxx | ${ }_{6} 65153.3 .4 \mathrm{ANC}$ | CASE 14 | 0.0380 | 0.0110 | 0.900 |  |  |  | 0.0730 |  | 0.0430 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0420 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemeat |  | 0.04 | 0.055 |  | 1000 | ${ }_{\text {Rt }}$ |
| 221901 T. 87 | pate | F10602clx $\times 1 \mathrm{~s}$ | 6/515 3, 34 AMC | CCAEE4 |  |  |  | 0.837 | 0.2000 | 0.320 | 0.3720 |  |  |  |  | 0.3420 |  | 0.320 |  | 0.680 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempa notiseat |  | 0.3 | 0.125 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| $2219 \mathrm{A1.87}$ | pate | F1003abox $\times$ IS | 65153 3,3 anc | CASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.8637 | 0.0120 | 0.930 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0880 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weame |  | 0.03 | 0.035 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 2219417.87 | pate | F1063al.xis | 65153,3,3a4C | CASE 4 |  |  |  | 0.893 | 0.2000 | 0.342 | 0.320 | 2 |  |  |  | 03420 |  | 0.320 |  | 0.6890 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemen |  | 0.095 | 0.125 |  |  | RT |
| 221941 T. 87 | pate | F106330.x ${ }^{\text {cs }}$ | $6 / 5153.45 \mathrm{MM}$ | CasE 1* | 0.0380 | 0.010 | 0.9002 |  |  |  | 0.0930 |  | 0.0330 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.020 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.025 | 0.025 |  | 1000 | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941 T .87 | plate | F10633ExLis | 6.51533 .54 ma | CASE 1* | 02870 | 02000 | 0.923 |  |  |  | 0.3720 |  | 0.345 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.6800 |  |  |  | 0.283 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemen |  | 011 | 0.15 | 0287 | 1000 | RT |
| 221941 T.87 | pate | F1063scox 15 | 655153.46 AM | CASE 1* | 0.0380 | 0.0110 | 0.9001 |  |  |  | 0.0380 |  | 0.0330 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.035 | 0.05 |  | 1000 | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 229041 T 87 | pate | F10603cLx $\times 1$ | ${ }^{6515153.479 M C}$ | case 4 |  |  |  | 0.8931 | 02000 | 0.342 | 0.3200 |  |  |  |  | 3320 |  | 0.320 |  | 6800 | ${ }^{29}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | venime |  | 0.4 | 0205 |  |  | RT |
| 221941.87 | pate | F1220140xis | 655153.48 ma | CASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.7206 | 0.060 | 0.1780 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3560 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | maman wotesean | Mex |  |  |  |  | RT |
| 221941 T. 87 | pate | F122014.4xS | 65515.550 MC | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.741 | 0.050 | 0.500 | 0.970 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.610 |  | 1.9580 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vaman woisean | mex |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941 T.87 | pate | F1220130.x ${ }^{\text {cs }}$ | ${ }_{651515352 \mathrm{AM}}$ | CASE 4 |  |  |  | 0.687 | 0.0620 | 0.178 | 0.1780 | 21 |  |  |  | 0.178 | ${ }^{21}$ | 0.1780 |  | 0.3500 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemen |  | 0.4 | 0.17 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221904 T. 87 | pate | Fl22018Lx ${ }^{\text {cis }}$ | 65153535 MM | CASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.600 | 0.050 | 0.530 | 0.970 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.610 |  | 1.958 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.625 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221904 T.87 | pate | F12201co.x $\times$ S | 66515355 AM | CASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.622 | 0.043 | 0.190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3500 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.185 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 229041 T .87 | pate | F12201cuxis | ${ }_{6} 6515356 \mathrm{ma}$ | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.687 | 0.020 | 0.5430 | 0.9790 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.610 |  | 1.958 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 2219417.87 | pate | F1222atax x | 66515358 AM | CASE 4 |  |  |  | 0.883 | 0.0580 | 0.1780 | 0.1780 | 5 |  |  |  | 0.1780 |  | 0.1780 |  | 0.350 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 0.185 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221904 T.87 | plate | F122024LXIS | 65515359 AM | CASE4 |  |  |  | 0.8008 | 0.072 | 0.580 | 0.970 | 28 |  |  |  | 0.580 |  | 0.568 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mameme |  | 0.62 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941 T .87 | pate | F1220280x $\times 15$ |  | CasE 7 |  |  |  | 0.873 | 0.075 | 0.190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.350 | ${ }^{29}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.15 |  |  | RT |
| 229041 T 87 | pate | F122028LX $\times 1$ | ${ }^{6 / 51515402 a M C}$ | case 14 | 0.539 | 02000 | 9152 |  |  |  | 0.970 |  | 0.7100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5370 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | menteme |  | 0.345 | 0.465 | 0.53 | 1000 | RT |
| 221941 T .87 | pate | F12202cox $\times 15$ | $6 / 515404$ anc | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.873 | 0.0750 | 0.190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.350 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.125 | 0.185 |  |  | Rt |
| 2219017.87 | pate | F12202cLx ${ }^{\text {cis }}$ | 66515405 anc | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.4720 | 0.0020 | 0.150 | 0.970 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.490 |  | 1.580 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.005 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941 T. 87 | pale | F1223aADXIS | 655154077 MM | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.8788 | 0.047 | 0.1080 | 0.1780 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1780 |  | 0.3560 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.08 | 0.1 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 229941 T 87 | pala | F1223a4Lx $\times 1$ | 651515408 anc | CCASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.8888 | 0.3000 | 0.6100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12200 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0285 | 0.375 |  |  | RT |


| matrral | Structure | fule name |  | caseio |  |  |  | $\left.{ }^{\text {atco }}\right\|_{\text {a }} ^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Best LCL } \\ \hline \text { CLASS- } \\ \text { WIDTH } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Best LCL } \\ & \text { CLASS- } \\ & \text { LENGTH } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | xm4 $\mathrm{xs}^{\text {s }}$ | xs ${ }_{\text {. }}$ | cl |  | xoon | xoon ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |  | 2xL* |  |  |  |  | $\tilde{F}_{\text {Fatace al }}^{\text {fuct }}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { rasec cal }}$ Rate |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length or Area } \\ & \text { per Inspection } \\ & \text { (in or in^2) }= \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\|$Fasese alat <br> Opporunites | se cals | Fase call flag | mLE lag | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { NTIAC 90\% } \\ \text { POD occurs } \\ \text { at (inch) } & \text { 口 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { NTIAC 90/95 } \\ \text { occurs at } \\ \text { POD (inch) } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \substack{\text { potor } \\ \text { xopoo }} \end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {Merro }}^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229091.87 | pate | ${ }^{\text {F1220380.xis }}$ | 651515410 anc | Case ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | 0.190 | 0.0330 | 09001 |  |  |  | 0.1780 |  | ${ }^{0.1485}$ | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.180 | 0 | , |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nameme |  | 0.08 | 0.105 | 0.19 | 1000 | Rt |
| 2219 NT. 87 | phate | FF22033L LLS | 655154.11 AM C | CasE ${ }^{\text {an }}$ | 0.530 | 02000 | 0.929 |  |  |  | 0.6100 |  | 0.5600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{0} 0.520$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mamat |  | 0.305 | 0.4 | 0.535 | 1000 | RT |
| 22904 T. 87 | pate | F12203coxis | 65515412 AM C | CasE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  | 0.8688 | 0.060 | 0.1260 | 0.1780 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1780 | 17 | 0.3560 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemate mobseall |  | 0.055 | 0.065 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 229091.87 | pate | F12203C.Lx ${ }^{\text {cis }}$ | 651515414 anc | CasE 14 | 0.590 | 0.200 | 0.925 |  |  |  | 0.6100 |  | 0.5430 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5185 | s | , |  |  |  |  |  |  | wearex |  | 0.3 | 0.45 | 0.519 | 1000 | Rt |
| 22194 T. 87 | pale | F20022ax ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6 6515 4416 AMC | CasE 4 |  |  |  | 0.6518 | 0.0910 | 0.6545 | 0.654 | 22 |  |  |  | 0.655 | 22 | 0.6182 |  | 13091 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | maman mobseat |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 22904 T.87 | pate | F200028Ax | 6/515 417 ama | CasE 4 |  |  |  | 0.6511 | 090 | 0.6545 | 0.6545 | 22 |  |  |  | 0.6545 | 22 | 0.612 |  | 13091 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wempan motaseal |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941.87 | plate | F20002CAx | 65515418 anc | Case 6 |  |  |  | 0.7616 | 0.030 | 0.5182 | 0.654 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.538 |  | 13091 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wemane Notaseat |  |  |  |  |  | RT |
| 221941.87 | Patae | F20952a0.xis | 65515420 amC | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.3684 | 0.0010 | 0.040 | 0.050 | ${ }^{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.050 | ${ }_{20}$ | 0.1000 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mamen minsealt |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Rt }}$ |
| 22904 T.87 | pate | F20952a. $\times 15$ | $6 / 5154.20$ ama | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.5493 | 0.0380 | 0.3260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.768 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempat motseat |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221901 T.87 | pate | F2085280.x\|s | 655154.22 man | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.9331 | 0.010 | 0.059 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weama notse oun |  | 0.3 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 22904 T.87 | pate | F209522. $\times 1.5$ | 6/515 422amca | CCASE 4 |  |  |  | 0.5493 | 0.040 | 0.380 | 0.380 | ${ }_{24}$ |  |  |  | 330 | ${ }^{24}$ | \%380 |  | 0.760 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weame notse out |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 2219 a 7.87 | pale | F20s52COx\|s | 665154.23 anc | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.5619 | 0.020 | 0.0550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1080 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemat motiseall |  | 0.095 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941 T. 87 | pate | F20852CLXIS | 65515424 AMC | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.6383 | 02000 | 0.3800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.780 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.505 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 229091.87 | pate | F22202a0.xis | 651515425 MaC | CCASE4 |  |  |  | 0.6518 | 0.020 | 0.140 | 0.140 | 22 |  |  |  | 0.140 | ${ }^{22}$ | 0.1360 | ${ }^{23}$ | 02880 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weare |  | 0.4 | 0.2 |  |  | Rt |
| 2219017.87 | pate | F22202aLlis | 6/515 427 7 Ma | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.794 | 0.0270 | 0.4920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemen notse ell |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 22904 T. 87 | pate | F2220200x $\times 15$ | $6 / 5154.28$ amc | CCASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.652 | 0.039 | 0.140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.280 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weman motse oul |  | 0.4 | 0.195 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221901 T. 87 | pate | F222026L $\times 15$ | 655154.29 anc | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.6058 | 0.020 | 0.4920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11000 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemar notisear |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941 T. 87 | pate | f222020. $\times 15$ | 651515430 AMC | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.769 | 0.0380 | 0.140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 02880 | - 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memat notseoun |  | 0.15 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221991.87 | pate | F22202CLXLS | 651515431 AM C | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.741 | 0.020 | 0.4920 | 0.550 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.550 |  | 11000 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wearem Notseant |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tigatav | pate | F30651a0x<L | 65515 4,33aMC | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.741 | 0.010 | 0.0510 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.200 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemat woise ent |  | 0.05 | 0.11 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tigav | pate | F306514.4.LS | 65151433 anc | CCASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.7206 | 0.2000 | 0.4070 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.81 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | meman |  | 0.255 | 0.41 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Thanav | pale | Fzossieoxls | 665154.35 anc | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.741 | 0.010 | 0.510 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.200 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | maman |  | 0.05 | 0.095 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tiganv | pate | F306512ux $\times 1$ | 655154.35 ma C | CasEz 7 |  |  |  | 0.7206 | 02000 | 0.4070 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.810 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weame |  | 029 | 0.48 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tranav | pate | F306510. $\times 15$ | $6 / 515154.37$ anc | CCASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.740 | 0.020 | 0.0510 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.200 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weman motse oul |  | 0.04 | 0.06 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tigalav | pate | F30651CLCLS | 6F515 439anc | CCASE7 |  |  |  | 0.741 | 0.030 | 0.550 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.8120 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wamean mobsealt |  | 0.225 | 0.325 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tigave | pate | F30653a.x.Ls | $6 / 515154.40$ anc | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.791 | 0.0060 | 0.180 | 0.100 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1000 | ${ }^{28}$ | 0200 | - 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weame |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tranav | pate |  | 651515 ati anc | CCASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.8074 | 0.020 | 0.0910 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.840 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | meame |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tranav | pale | F206530. $\times 15$ | $6 / 5154.42 \mathrm{MMC}$ | Case 6 |  |  |  | 0.887 | 0.0040 | 0.060 | 0.100 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.100 |  | . 02000 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemen notse ealt |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Thanav | pate | Fzocssel $\times 15$ | 655154.43 anc | Cass 7 |  |  |  | 0.741 | 0.0170 | 0.890 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.8140 | 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tranav | pate | F30653coxis | 6515154.45 Ma C | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.6350 | 0.030 | 0.020 | 0.1000 | ${ }_{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.100 |  | 000 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weame notse out |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tramav | pate |  | $65 / 554.46 \mathrm{mac}$ | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.741 | 0.0750 | 03350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.8480 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weara notse out |  | 0.73 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Rr }}$ |
| Tramav | plate | F3225140.x15 | 6.515154 .77 anc | Case 6 |  |  |  | 0.5938 | 0.0030 | 02550 | 0.352 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.320 |  | 0.700 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weame |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tigan | pate | F322514.4.LS | $6 / 5154.49 \mathrm{MMC}$ | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.5938 | 0.0300 | 02150 | 0.352 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3200 |  | 0.7000 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemat notisearl |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tramav | pate | F3225180.xis | 651515 452anc | CCASE 7 |  |  |  | 0.4182 | 0.0290 | 0.0970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.190 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemar notseont |  | 0.15 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tranav | pate | F322518LLS | 651515453 MMC | CCase 7 |  |  |  | 0.2488 | 0.087 | 0.352 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.7000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemen |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tramav | pate | F3225100x $\times 15$ | 651515.54 amic | Case 7 |  |  |  | 02486 | 0.087 | 0.3520 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1090 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memen notseall |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tranav | pate | F32251C.Lx $\times 1$ | 6.515154 .55 MaC | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.2486 | 0.0870 | 0.3520 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.7000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tigave | pate | F322530. $\times 15$ | 65515456 mic | Case 6 |  |  |  | 0.6837 | 0.0150 | 0.250 | 0.3700 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.352 | 28 | . 0.7000 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemen |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tisalv | plate |  | 651515458 Man | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.6837 | 0.050 | 0.250 | 0.3700 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.352 |  | 0.7800 | - 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemat notseant |  | 0.005 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tranav | pate | F3225360.x\| 5 | 6.515154 .59 MaC | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.670 | 0.030 | 02470 | 0.3700 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.370 |  | 0.7400 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemea |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tigav | plate | ${ }^{\text {F322533LLIL }}$ | 6/515 5.0a AMC | Case 6 |  |  |  | 0.670 | 0.0370 | 0.2470 | 0.3700 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3700 |  | 0.740 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemen |  | 0.365 | 0.695 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Tisala | pate | F32253CD. X15 | 665155.014 Ma | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.741 | 0.050 | 0.058 | 0.1030 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.100 |  | 02080 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 018 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Traav | pate | F22253C14 $\times 15$ | 651515.03 MaC | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.6070 | 0.0020 | 02120 | 0.370 | ${ }^{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3700 |  | 0.7400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RT |
| STEL 2330 | pate | Fa0601axis | 655155.54 MNC | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.5993 | 0.0040 | 0.093 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4960 | 029 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memen Noise ail |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {RT }}$ |
| STEEL 2330 | pate | Fa6001. $\times 15$ | 65515 5.05anc | CCASE7 |  |  |  | 0.5293 | 0.0070 | 0.093 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9850 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mamen |  |  |  |  |  | Rt |
| Stel 1330 | plate | Fa6000. X X | 655155.06 AMC | CasE 7 |  |  |  | 0.4504 | 0.0070 | 0.168 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9860 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weare |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| STEL 4330 | plate | Fa0603axis | 655155.08 anc | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.687 | 0.0070 | 0.093 | 0.240 | ${ }^{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1750 | ${ }^{28}$ | 0.480 | - 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wamar notse out |  | 0.265 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| STEL 2330 | pale | Fa60038. ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | 655155.99 MCO | Case 6 |  |  |  | 0.687 | 0.0070 | 0.093 | 0.2880 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 02880 |  | . 0.9880 | - 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memen notse ealt |  | 0.49 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Rt }}$ |
| Stel 4330 | plate | Faterac. xis | 6/5155.10 amC | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.7168 | 0.0080 | 0.093 | 02480 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1750 |  | 0.9880 | - 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0265 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| STEL 2330 | plate | F2aroiaxis | 655155.12 anc | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.2486 | 0.0080 | 0.330 | 24030 | ${ }^{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 16030 |  | 48000 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mamame |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Rt }}$ |
| STEL 2330 | pate | F24501. $\times 15$ | $6 / 5155.13$ anc | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.1383 | 0.3000 | 0.400 | 2.480 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 16030 |  | 48000 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wearem Notseant |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| STEEL 230 | plate | F24501. X1/ | 655155.16 anc | Case 6 |  |  |  | 0.228 | 0.0030 | 0.330 | 24030 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 16030 |  | 48000 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemat motseall |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| STEL 2330 | plate | ${ }_{\text {F24503axis }}$ | 655155.77 MM | CCASE 6 |  |  |  | 0.794 | 0.0880 | 0.350 | 24800 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.600 |  | 48000 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| Stel 1330 | plate | ${ }_{\text {F245033 } \times 15}$ | 65155519 anc | Case 5 |  |  |  | 0.7616 | 0.053 | 0.3500 | 2.480 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.532 | ${ }^{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weare |  | 0.66 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| STEL 2330 | plate | F22503. Xis | 66515520 MMC | Case 5 |  |  |  | 0.792 | 0.080 | 0.350 | 2 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.532 | ${ }^{28}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 575 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Rt }}$ |
| 221941.88 | wedi lop | F500130. xs $^{\text {c }}$ | $6 / 5155.2 \mathrm{AMa}$ | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.866 | 0.0010 | 0.500 | 0.1600 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.150 | ${ }^{26}$ | 0.320 | - 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941 T.87 | wedilop | ${ }_{\text {F50013 }}$ L $\times$ x | ${ }_{651515}^{524} 4 \mathrm{MNC}$ | CCase ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 11580 | . 0580 | 0.950 |  |  |  | 12100 |  | 11750 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemar notisear |  |  |  | 1158 | 1.00 | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941 T. 87 | weld lop | F50023) xs $^{\text {c }}$ | 65515526 anc | Case 6 |  |  |  | 0.866 | 0.0070 | 0.500 | 0.160 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.150 | 26 | 0.320 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wemame wotaseall |  |  |  |  |  | Rt |
| 221941 T .87 | weda 0 P | ${ }_{\text {F5022 } 3 \text { L } \times \text { x }}$ | 65155528 mac | Case 2 | 0.337 | 0.090 | 9050 |  |  |  | 12100 |  | 08250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1156 | 1000 | вt |
| 221941.87 | weld 0 P | F5003330.x ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 655155.30 amC | CCASE 2 | 0.072 |  | 9050 |  |  |  | 0.1600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Namane Notaseal | Numameme | 0.005 | 0.05 |  | 1.00 | RT |
| 229941 . 87 | weed lop | [50033LLx ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 6/515 5:4 A AMC | CCASE2 | 0.8880 | 0.017 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | 12100 |  | 1079 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \%mane | mema min | 0.005 | 0.05 |  | 1000 | RT |

DOEPOD CAPABILITIES DATA BOOK－SUMMARY
TABLE 3＊

| materal | structure | flemame | ${ }_{\substack{\text { and }}}^{\substack{\text { Anassis } \\ \text { Daterime }}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Xpod } \\ & \text { CLASS. } \\ & \text { LENGTH } \end{aligned}$ |  | LCL | Best．cic | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Best LCL } \\ \hline \text { CLASS- } \\ \text { WIDTH } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Best LCL } \\ & \text { CLASS- } \\ & \text { LENGTH } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | xL＊＊＊ |  | xm＊ |  | $\mathrm{xs}^{\text {t }}$ | xct | xclit | xoon | xoon 4 2n |  | 2xL＊$\times$ |  | Xss 4 ． |  |  | ｜rase | $\|$Fasese can <br> Rate <br> and | False Call Length（in） |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length or Area } \\ & \text { per Inspection } \\ & (\text { in or in^2) }= \end{aligned}$ |  | False calls | False call flag | MLEE fag | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { NTIAC 90\% } \\ \text { POD occurs } \\ \text { at (inch) } \end{array}$ | NTIAC 90／95 occurs at POD（inch） |  |  | METHO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2219 AT． 87 |  | ${ }^{\text {F6001330xs }}$ | $6 / 51515.999 \mathrm{mlc}$ | Case7 |  |  |  | 0.6070 | 0.0050 | 0.1780 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.430 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vememe notuseal |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {Rt }}$ |
| 2219 AT． 87 | wedil Lec | F6013 3 \x | 65515 5．50anc | CCASE7 |  |  |  | 0.600 | 0．0070 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23760 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vembem Notuse ent |  |  |  |  |  | Rr |
| 22994 T．87 | wedil Fec | F602330．xs | 6.51515 .52 MaNC | CasE 7 |  |  |  | 0.579 | 0.022 | 0250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3300 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemper moteceut |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 229 AT．87 | wedurc | F60233L $\times$ Ls | $6.5 / 155.53 \mathrm{mlc}$ | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.600 | 0.050 | 0.5030 | 11880 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11880 | ${ }^{26}$ | 23760 | － 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemper Notuseal |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 22904 T． 87 | wedil Lec | F609330．xs | 6.51515 .55 manc | CCasE 4 |  |  |  | 0.866 | 0.062 | 0250 | 0.250 |  |  |  |  |  | 2150 |  | 0255 |  | 0.430 | － 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | weate Notusean |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 229 AT．87 | wedil Fe | F60033L $\times$ xs | $6 / 5155.56 \mathrm{mma}$ | CASE 4 |  |  |  | 0.819 | 0.300 | 11880 | 11880 | ${ }^{14}$ |  |  |  |  | 11880 | 14 | －0．9810 | ${ }^{26}$ | 23780 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Notaseant |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 22994 T．87 | weditic | F7001310．xs | 651515.58 Man | CCAE 4 |  |  |  | 0.6070 | 0.020 | 02350 | 0.2350 | 23 |  |  |  |  | 0.235 |  | 0.230 |  | 0.4700 | 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rt |
| 22991 T．87 | wedit fec | F700013 $\times$ xs | 6.51515 .59 anc | CasE 6 |  |  |  | 0.6070 | 0.130 | 02730 | 1．4350 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14350 | ${ }^{26}$ | 28770 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | veresm wituseat |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 229 нT．87 | wedi fec | Frooz30．xs | $6 / 5156.01$ anc | CASE 4 |  |  |  | 0.6070 | 0.020 | 02350 | 0.2350 | 23 |  |  |  |  | 2350 |  | ． 02350 |  | 0.4700 | － 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vememe notuseat |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 2219 NT T． 87 | wed fic | F70233 $\times$ x 5 | 655156.03 anc | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.600 | 0.030 | 0.270 | 1．4350 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.4350 | ${ }^{26}$ | 28700 | － 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemme Notuseat |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941 T． 87 | wedi fec | F7003310．xs | 655150.5 anc | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.810 | 0.050 | 0.980 | 0.2350 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.230 | ${ }^{26}$ | 0.4700 | － 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vememe notuseat |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 229941 T．87 | wedi fec | F20033 $\$ \s & 655156068 Ma & CASE 6 & & & & 0.793 & 0.020 & 03060 & （14350 & 26 & & & & & & & 14350 & ${ }^{26}$ | 28700 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemenc wise eat |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Rt }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22904 T．87 | wedd lush Lec | F800130． Ns $^{\text {a }}$ | 6,5156608 anc | CASE 4 |  |  |  | O．84a | 0.0610 | 02780 | 0.2780 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 02760 |  | 0.2760 |  | 0.5520 | 2 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vememe notuseat |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 2219 A1．87 | wed lush Lec | F80013L $\times$ Ls | $65 / 56$ 609 amC |  |  |  |  | 0.873 | 0.600 | 15620 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.220 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemmen wisean | Memememe |  |  |  |  | RT |
| 221904 T 87 | wed lush Lec | Fsooz3ioxs | 6／556 6114 Ma | case 4 |  |  |  | 0.8931 | 0.050 | 0.270 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 02780 |  | 0.2760 |  | 0.552 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | memme notuseat |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221904 T ． 87 | wedd lushicce | F8023（L） xs | 65156.12 mm |  |  |  |  | 0.888 | 0.5000 | 1.562 | 1.1620 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 15620 |  | 1.562 |  | ${ }_{3} .1200$ | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nembere Notuse ent |  |  |  |  |  | Rt |
| 221941．87 | wedd lush LFC C | Feoos31）xs | 65156.15 mm cis | CASE 4 |  |  |  | 0.883 | 0.0800 | 0.276 | 002780 |  |  |  |  |  | 02780 |  | O2270 |  | 0.5520 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | membe |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Rr }}$ |
| 229 AT．87 | wed lish Lec | F80033L坟 | $6 / 5156.17$ anc | CasE 1． | 0.350 | 0.030 | 0.9050 |  |  |  | 1.5620 |  | 11190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 为 |  |  |  | 1.061 | 1000 | ${ }^{\text {Rt }}$ |
| 22994 T． 87 | wedd lisht Trec | F9000cox．x | 655156.18 anc | Case 7 |  |  |  | 0.5619 | 0.012 | 0.050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wemme Notuseat |  | 0.095 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221904 T .87 | wed Iush Tce | Feori3ioxs |  | CaSE7 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.430 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RT |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ，memenemememe |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22194 T .87 | wed lush frec | Foor 13 LT5 |  | CASE7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9000 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wemay mat eaus |  |  |  |  | RT |
| 22904 T .87 | wed dush Trec | F2002330．xs | $6 / 5156.19$ anc | CCAEE 4 |  |  |  | 0.368 | 0.0010 | 02150 | 02150 | 26 |  |  |  |  | 02150 |  | 02150 |  | 0.430 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemere wotue an | Men |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 22994T．87 | wed lisut fec | F90233L起 | 65515620 MaC |  |  |  |  | 0.3889 | 0.000 | 0.450 | 0.980 | 26 |  |  |  |  | 0.450 |  | 0.450 |  | 0.990 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemane nobseas | Etememe | 05 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 22794 T －87 | wed lish frec | F2003330．x |  | CCASE 4 |  |  |  | 0.3684 | 0.0010 | 2160 | 0.250 | 26 |  |  |  |  | 02150 |  | 2250 |  | 0.4300 | － 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemmen |  |  |  |  |  | RT |
| 22794 T .87 | wed lish TFC | F20033L Ls | $6 / 515622 \mathrm{AMC}$ | CASE4 |  |  |  | 0.3684 | 0.0010 | 0.450 | 0.455 | 26 |  |  |  |  | 0.450 |  | 0.9550 |  | 0.990 | － 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vempara Notuseat | comed | 0.56 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {RT }}$ |
| 221941 T． 87 | pale | 61003saxis | 65515623 AM c | CASE7 7 |  |  |  | 0.8514 | 0.093 | 0.633 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.633 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vememe Notuseat |  | 0.64 |  |  |  | нт |
| 221941.88 | pale | 61003abx．$\times 15$ | 655156.25 Mac | CCAEE 4 |  |  |  | 0.819 | 0.090 | 0.1260 | 0.1780 | 28 |  |  |  |  | 0.1260 |  | 0.1260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vempas Notuseat |  | 0.095 |  |  |  | нт |
| 2219017.87 | pate | 61003aLlx $\times$ S | 6.5156 .26 anc | CCASE 1． | 0.320 | 0.075 | 0.9008 |  |  |  | 0.6100 |  | 0.5350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemper wobleat |  | 0.245 | 0.4 | 0.45 | 1.00 | нr |
| 2219 AT T． 87 | pate | 610038Ax．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $6 / 515627 \mathrm{AmC}$ | case7 |  |  |  | 0.653 | 02000 | 0.683 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.82 | 229 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | wempe Noussean |  |  |  |  |  | нт |
| 22919 NT ． 87 | pate | 61003880．x ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 65515629 AMC | Case7 |  |  |  | 0.8074 | 4 0.030 | 0.1280 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.350 | 229 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemes Notse oat |  | 1.05 | 0.17 |  |  | нт |
| 221941 T .87 | pabe | C10038Lx $\times 15$ | 65515 6．30 AMC | case 6 |  |  |  | 0.792 | 0.050 | 0.250 | 0.6100 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.560 |  | 1220 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.46 | ${ }_{0} 63$ |  |  | Hi |
| SS AMS 35 | nole | $62001 \times 15$ | 65156.31 anca | case ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.0845 | 0.0260 | 0.9027 |  |  |  | 0.245 |  | 0.1694 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0774 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | vemerse Notuseat |  | 0.075 | 0.1 | 10.0852 | 1.00 | нт |




* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Filow sample selection priority in the DOEPOD Manual. | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $\#$ Satis |

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart


*Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked. The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Follow sample selection priority in the DOEPOD Manual. Bable A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |


${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH funcion may be osciliatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table $B$ exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH funcion may be osciliatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table $B$ exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
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***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
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Athough Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH funcion may be osciliatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
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***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
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nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
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nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
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Xmate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
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${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
$* * T$ the
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
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*Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
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${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$

Xnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
ernate Xm requirement removes the n
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

$* * T h e$
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }^{* *}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH funcion may be osciliatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
The class lengths listed in Table $B$ exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requirement.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

anate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved
first.
***The Aternate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* *}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Foliow sample selection priority in the DOEPOD Manual. Bable A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xmate requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved
first.
$* *$.the Alternate $X m$ requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent $X m$ requiremen.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xmate requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved
first.
**The Alternate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$

Xmate requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH funcion may be osciliatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table $B$ exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

Xm requirement
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH funcion may be osciliatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
The class lengths listed in Table $B$ exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $\#$ Satis |

***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
$* * T h e$
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

ate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xmate requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Foliow sample selection priority in the DOEPOD Manual. Bable A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
fernate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH funcion may be osciliatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table $B$ exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

Xm requirement oves the need meet the adjacent Xm requirement
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH funcion may be osciliatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table $B$ exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
ate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
$* * T$ the
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

$* * T h e$
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in 1 CLL below 0.90 Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

| first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

A*The Alternate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
$* * T h e$
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved
first.
$* *$. Alternate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
ternate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH funcion may be osciliatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
The class lengths listed in Table $B$ exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined ( human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
ernate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Filow sample selection priority in the DOEPOD Manual. Bable A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

Xmate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $\#$ Satis |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm req
**The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requi
${ }^{* *}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
to meet the adjacent Xm requ
**The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
**The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$


*Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked. The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined ( human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart


* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

$* *$ The added nate requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart


*Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
$* * T h e$
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$


* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

解nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
**The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
$* * T h e$
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm req
**The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$

${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requis
**The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$


*Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked. The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$


* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xmate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH funcion may be osciliatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table $B$ exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

$* * T$ the
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
$* * *$ The and requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $\#$ Satis |

$* * T$ the
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
$* * T$ the
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
$* * T$ the
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $\#$ Satis |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
***The
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requirement
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requirement
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requirement
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requirement
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xmate requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

| first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

$* * T h e ~ A l t e r n a t e ~ X m$ requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Foilow sample selection priority in the DOEPOD Manual. Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
ernate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

| first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

$* * T h e$ Alternate $X m$ requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent $X m$ requiremen.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
enate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
enate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
**The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
$* * * T h$ and Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

$* * T h e$
${ }^{* *}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }^{* *}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
**The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
$* * *$ The an requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ add .
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH funcion may be osciliatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table $B$ exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |





* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
$* * * T h$.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$


*Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked. The class lengths sisted in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
ernate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xmate requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xmate requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xmate requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Follow sample selection priority in the DOEPOD Manual. Beable A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$

Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requirement
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xmate requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
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${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xmate requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fofore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xmate requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved


Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
ernate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requirement.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $\#$ Satis |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |


${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

$* * T$ the
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH funcion may be osciliatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |


${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |


${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }_{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}^{\text {and }}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requirement
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Follow sample selection priority in the DOEPOD Manual. Beable A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

rate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

ernate Xm requirement removes the ne
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
rnate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen
${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
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The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
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Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
| :--- |
| $* * S t i s$ |

${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
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Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved

nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown

Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved | first. |
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${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
${ }^{* * T}$ The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { Befo } \\ \text { first. } \\ * S t i s ~}}{ }$
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${ }^{* * * T h e ~ a d d e d ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h s ~ a r e ~ t o ~ b e ~ a t ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ l e n g t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ o r ~ s m a l l e r ~ t o ~ w i t h i n ~ t h e ~ c l a s s ~ w i d t h ~ i n d i c a t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c o m p a n i o n ~ c h a r t ~}$



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.
The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90 . Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.

The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
anate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
***The added class lengths are to be at the class length indicated or smaller to within the class width indicated in the companion chart



* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Fefore adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved $\underset{\substack{\text { first. } \\ * \\ * \\ \text { Batis }}}{ }$
nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
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* Although Xpod appears to have been reached at a point, there are Misses at larger class lengths this indicates that the POH function may be oscillatory. This needs to be checked.

The class lengths listed in Table A exhibited misses and resulted in LCL below 0.90. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown.
The class lengths listed in Table B exhibited no misses, and these class lengths provide alternate target Xpod points. Only largest 4 class lengths are shown
Before adding flawed samples to satisfy elements of Table A or Table B, it is recommended that the cause of the Misses be determined (human factors, unexpected flaw type, etc) and resloved first.

$*$
${ }_{* * * T h e}$ nate Xm requirement removes the need to meet the adjacent Xm requiremen.
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## Errata

## NTIAC NDE Capabilities Book, 3rd Edition (November 1997) [NTIAC: DB-97-02]

## DATA sets that do not appear to exist on the NTIAC CD:

B20011 (appears to be B2001)
B20012 (appears to be B2002)
B20013 (appears to be B2003)
G6001G (appears to be A6001G)
G6001GR (appears to be A6001GR)
G6002G (appears to be A6002G)
G6003G (appears to be A6003G)
G6004G (appears to be A6004G)
F40601AL (appears to be F40601A)
F40601BL (appears to be F40601B)
F40601CL (appears to be F40601C)
F40603AL (appears to be F40603A)
F40603BL (appears to be F40603B)
F40603CL (appears to be F40603C)
F42501AL (appears to be F42501A)
F42501BL (appears to be F42501B)
F42501CL (appears to be F42501C)
F42503AL (appears to be F42503A)
F42503BL (appears to be F42503B)
F42503CL (appears to be F42503C)
A4000(7) is listed in Mag Particle data index - should be B4000(7) with B4001L as the companion data set

DATA sets on the CD that are not listed in the index:
B1001AD (POD data not shown in book)
B1001BD (POD data not shown in book)
B1001CD (POD data not shown in book)
B1003AD (POD data not shown in book)
B1003BD (POD data not shown in book)
B1003CD (POD data not shown in book)

B4001L (see above)
B2001 (appears to be the missing B20011 above)
B2002 (appears to be the missing B20012 above)
B2003 (appears to be the missing B20013 above)

There are an additional 18 data sets (grouped) and not listed in the index:
DB001(3)D (POD data not shown in book)
DB001(3)L (POD data not shown in book)
DB002(3)D (POD data not shown in book)
DB002(3)L (POD data not shown in book)
DB003(3)D (POD data not shown in book)
DB003(3)L (POD data not shown in book)
DC001(3)D (POD data not shown in book)
DC001(3)L (POD data not shown in book)
DC002(3)D (POD data not shown in book)
DC002(3)L (POD data not shown in book)
DC003(3)D (POD data not shown in book)
DC003(3)L (POD data not shown in book)
DD001(3)D (POD data not shown in book)
DD001(3)L (POD data not shown in book)
DD002(3)D (POD data not shown in book)
DD002(3)L (POD data not shown in book)
DD003(3)D (POD data not shown in book)
DD003(3)L (POD data not shown in book)

## DATA set duplicated:

F9000CD appears to be a duplicate identical to data file F20852CD

## DATA Analysis integrity:

During validation of DOEPOD results on the entire NTIAC NDE Capabilities Book "DOEPOD(NTIAC)", some exceptions were noted in the results. There are 437 data sets and exceptions were identified in the 32 data sets listed below. The analysis results shown in the NTIAC NDE Capabilities Book, 3rd Edition (1997) [NTIAC: DB-97-02] for the data sets listed below are incorrect due to a data listing error. These data sets need to be re-run with data sorted.

A1001CL.XLS
A1002CL.XLS

```
A9003(3)L.xls
AA003(3)L.xls
AC001(3)L.xls
CB003(3)L.xls
CE032(6)D.xls
F10601AD.XLS
F10601BD.XLS
F10601CD.XLS
F10602AD.XLS
F10602BD.XLS
F10602CD.XLS
F10603AD.XLS
F10603BD.XLS
F10603CD.XLS
F12201AD.XLS
F12201BD.XLS
F12201CD.XLS
F12202AD.XLS
F12202BD.XLS
F12202CD.XLS
F12203AD.XLS
F12203BD.XLS
F12203CD.XLS
F32251AD.XLS
F32251CD.XLS
F32253AD.XLS
F32253BD.XLS
F8002(3)L.xls
G10003BD.XLS
G10003BL.XLS
```


## OTHER:

C8003(3)L.xls - sample \#136 shows 3 trials with -1 in the HIT/MISS column C8003(3)D.xls - sample \#136 shows 3 trials with -1 in the HIT/MISS column

C3002: Sample \#16 shows 0.10" in depth. NASA CR 151098 pg 27. shows 0.010 ". Since the sample thickness is 0.063 " this NTIAC entry is incorrect.

The primary and secondary scales on abscissa axes in Chart 1 may be incorrect. Compare actual flaw sizes and inspection data on data sheets available in electronic distributions.


