

**UNCLASSIFIED**

**MIL-HDBK-235-1A**  
**5 FEBRUARY 1979**  
**SUPERSEDING**  
**MIL-HDBK-235-1(NAVY)**  
**23 JUNE 1972**

**MILITARY HANDBOOK**

**ELECTROMAGNETIC (RADIATED) ENVIRONMENT  
CONSIDERATIONS FOR DESIGN AND PROCUREMENT OF  
ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT,  
SUBSYSTEMS AND SYSTEMS**

**PART-1A**



**UNCLASSIFIED**

**FSC EMCS**

MIL-HDBK-235-1A

**UNCLASSIFIED**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
WASHINGTON D.C. 20360**ELECTROMAGNETIC (RADIATED) ENVIRONMENT CONSIDERATIONS FOR DESIGN AND PROCUREMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT, SUBSYSTEMS AND SYSTEMS**

MIL-HDBK-235A

1. This Military Handbook is approved for use by all Departments and Agencies of the Department of Defense.

2. Every effort has been made to reflect the latest information on the electromagnetic environment. It is the intent to review this handbook periodically to insure its completeness and currency. However, several factors dictate that the document be revised periodically. The factors include advances in emitter state-of-the-art, increased knowledge of hostile emitter characteristics or revised definitions of emitter and missile deployments.

3. Procedures for the release of Parts 2, 3, and 4 of MIL-HDBK-235 to Industry. Other parts of MIL-HDBK-235 may be released to private industry, when necessary for the performance of a Department of Defense contract, or to bidders, if required for the preparation of a response to an invitation-for-bid, in accordance with the following procedures:

a. Releasing Service or Command. The following activities are authorized to release other parts of this handbook.

- For Air Force contracts and bids - ASD/ENESS
- For Army contracts and bids - U.S. Army Communication Research and Development Command
- For Navy and other DoD agencies contracts and bids - Naval Electronic Systems Command

Prior to releasing other parts of the handbook, the above activities shall:

- (1) Ensure that the conditions of paragraph 7-106d of DoD Dir 5200.1-R (Information Security Program Regulation) are met.
- (2) Critically review existing and proposed contract requirements to ensure that all data being requested are actually required (based on system or platform type, function, intended installation and expected electromagnetic environment). When all data are not required, the handbook shall be tailored by the releasing command or service so that only applicable portions of the classified parts of the handbook are sent to bidders or contractors. Reference to the levels in Part 1, TABLE II may be adequate for bid purposes.
- (3) Keep a record of all releases to contractors and bidders.

b. Contracting officers and security managers. Contracting Officers and Security Managers will ensure that the following requirements are specifically included in the contract itself, invitation-for-bid or in the Contract Security Classification Specification (DD Form 254):

- (1) The material does not become the property of the bidder or contractor and may be withdrawn at any time. Upon close of bid or expiration of the contract, Classified parts of MIL-HDBK-235, and any material using data from the handbook shall be returned to the contracting officer or authorized representative for final disposition.

**UNCLASSIFIED**

# UNCLASSIFIED

- (2) Bidders and Contractors shall not release classified parts of MIL-HDBK-235 to any activity or person of the contractor's organization not directly engaged in providing services under the contract or to another contractor (including subcontractors), government agency, private individual, or organization.
- (3) Classified parts of MIL-HDBK-235 shall not be released to foreign nationals or immigrant aliens who may be employed by the contractor, regardless of their security clearance level.
- (4) Classified parts of MIL-HDBK-235 shall not be reproduced.
- (5) The bidder and contractor shall maintain such records as will permit them to furnish, on demand, the names of individuals who have access to foreign intelligence material in their custody.

4. Beneficial comments (recommendations, additions, deletions) and any pertinent data which may be of use in improving this document should be addressed to: Commander, Naval Electronic Systems Command, Attn: 50431, Washington, DC 20360, by using the self-addressed Standardization Document Improvement Proposal (DD Form 1426) appearing at the end of this document or by letter.

# UNCLASSIFIED

## FOREWORD

Department of Defense activities have experienced increasingly serious problems of damage and performance degradation to electrical and electronic equipments, subsystems and systems due to inadequate consideration of the intended operational electromagnetic environment from their initial design. To correct this, general design requirements and limits in existing electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) and interference (EMI) standards must be analyzed to determine their suitability and applicability for a given development and procurement. The standards are to be tailored by the Procuring Activity to the peculiarities of the specific equipment, its mission and operational concepts, the probabilities of achieving intra- and intersystem EMC, program cost objectives and the anticipated operational electromagnetic environment. Definitive postulations of the total intended environment are required at various stages during the system design, as well as requirements to demonstrate operation and survivability in those environments. An initial postulation of the environment should be included in the specification. This postulation may be based on the assumption that the emitters with the largest radiated levels represent the greatest threat. From this, the extreme electromagnetic environment parameters which can be encountered during the system's life cycle may be documented. Subsequent analyses may show that the initial assumptions yielded extremely high environment levels thus necessitating revisions of the initially postulated environment. The revised environment levels could then be used by the designer or testing organization.

This document provides information and guidance to the project manager, acquisition manager and others responsible for the design, test and procurement of electrical and electronic components, equipments, subsystems and systems on the representative maximum electromagnetic environment which may be encountered at various stages of their life cycle. The intent of this document is not to provide detailed electromagnetic environment specifications since each equipment and procurement is somewhat unique, but rather, to provide guidance and information which must be weighed during design and procurement. Use of this document will require engineering judgement. Therefore, it is advisable to search out the additional electromagnetic environment data in the referenced publications when more precise or detailed environmental information is required.

**UNCLASSIFIED****CONTENTS**

<u>SECTION</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1	INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
	1.1 Scope. . . . .	1
	1.2 Purpose. . . . .	1
	1.3 Use. . . . .	1
	1.4 Format . . . . .	1
2	REFERENCED DOCUMENTS . . . . .	4
3	DEFINITIONS. . . . .	5
	3.1 Electromagnetic environment. . . . .	5
	3.2 Equipment. . . . .	5
	3.3 Operate. . . . .	5
	3.4 Subsystem. . . . .	5
	3.5 Survive. . . . .	5
	3.6 System . . . . .	5
4	DEFINING REQUIREMENTS. . . . .	6
	4.1 General. . . . .	6
	4.2 Developing the performance requirement . . . . .	6
	4.2.1 Environment profile. . . . .	6
	4.2.2 Configuration. . . . .	6
	4.2.3 Operate vs. survive. . . . .	6
	4.2.4 Susceptibility . . . . .	7
	4.2.5 Future considerations. . . . .	7
	4.3 Environment levels . . . . .	7
	4.3.1 Modification of environmental levels . . . . .	7
	4.3.2 Conditions precluding exposure . . . . .	7
	4.4 Evaluation guidance. . . . .	10
	4.5 Documentation. . . . .	10
	4.5.1 Control plan . . . . .	10
	4.5.2 Test plan. . . . .	11
	4.5.3 Test report. . . . .	11

<u>TABLE</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
I	Index of Representative Electromagnetic Environment Levels and Emitter Characteristics. . . . .	2
II	Approximate EM Environment Levels. . . . .	8

# UNCLASSIFIED

## SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

**1.1 Scope.** The intent of this handbook is to provide guidance and establish a uniform approach for the protection of military electronics from the adverse effects of the electromagnetic environment. The handbook is applicable to any electrical and electronic equipment, subsystem or system which may be exposed to an electromagnetic environment during its life cycle, including the following:

- a. Aerospace and weapons systems and associated subsystems and equipments.
- b. Ordnance.
- c. Support and checkout equipment and instruments for (a) and (b) above.

**1.2 Purpose.** This handbook provides:

- a. Information on the electromagnetic environment for consideration in the design and procurement of new systems, subsystems and equipments which may be exposed to electromagnetic radiation environment levels during their life cycle.
- b. Information for use in tailoring the radiated susceptibility requirement RS03 of MIL-STD-461 and the requirements of MIL-E-6051, and to supplement the requirements of MIL-STD-1385 and MIL-STD-1512 to ensure adequate consideration of the electromagnetic environment during equipment and system design.

**1.3 Use.** The information contained herein will be valuable in implementing the military departments' policies on tailoring of requirements. Tailoring of susceptibility requirements must not violate International agreements. In the event that there are essential reasons for non-conformance with such an agreement, the signatory Nations must be consulted, as required by the agreement. Care should be taken to ensure that tailoring does not restrict an equipment for use in only one system or installation; therefore susceptibility levels less stringent than the applicable levels in MIL-STD-461 should not be used. Contractors shall not use this handbook as justification for changing any contractual provision based on MIL-STD-461 or MIL-E-6051 or any EMC or EMI control or test plan, as may be required by the contract.

**1.4 Format.** This handbook is issued in four parts. Part 1 gives general information and approximate electromagnetic environment levels; Parts 2 and 3 describe the electromagnetic levels which may be encountered from friendly and hostile emitters, respectively, as well as emitter characteristics; and, Part 4 describes the electromagnetic environment levels which may be encountered in specific Army installations. TABLE I is an index of the tables in other parts of this handbook.

1  
UNCLASSIFIED

**UNCLASSIFIED**TABLE I. Index of representative electromagnetic environment levels and emitter characteristics.

Location	Table
<b>HANDBOOK PART 2</b>	
<u>Environment Levels</u>	
Factory to Depot	I
Depot to Checkout Area	II
Checkout Areas Aboard Ships	III
Hangar Decks	IV
Flight Deck - Aircraft Carriers	V.a
Weather Decks - Missile Launching Ships	V.b
Weather Decks - Non-Missile Combat Ships	V.c
Landbased Installations (Inside Transmitter Bldg and outside all other structures)	VI.a
Landbased Installations (Inside all other structures)	VI.b
Envelope of Maximum Electromagnetic Environment Levels in Main Beam of U.S. Shipboard Emitters	VII
Envelope of Maximum Electromagnetic Environment Levels in Main Beam of U.S. Airborne Emitters	VIII
Envelope of Maximum Electromagnetic Environment Levels in Main Beam of U.S. Landbased Emitters	IX
Emission Levels From Individual U.S. Shipboard Emitters	X
Emission Levels From Individual U.S. Airborne Emitters	XI
Emission Levels From Individual U.S. Landbased Emitters	XII
Characteristics of U.S. Shipboard Emitters	XIII
Characteristics of U.S. Airborne Emitters	XIV
Characteristics of U.S. Landbased Emitters	XV
<u>Emission Characteristics</u>	
Emissions in the radio frequency spectrum	XVI
<b>HANDBOOK PART 3</b>	
Envelope of Maximum Electromagnetic Environment Levels for Hostile Shipboard Emitters	I
Envelope of Maximum Electromagnetic Environment Levels for Hostile Airborne Emitters	II
Envelope of Maximum Electromagnetic Environment Levels for Hostile Landbased Emitters	III
Emission Levels From Individual Hostile Shipboard Emitters	IV
Emission Levels From Individual Hostile Airborne Emitters	V
Emission Levels From Individual Hostile Landbased Emitters	VI
Characteristics of Soviet Shipboard Emitters	VII
Characteristics of Soviet Airborne Emitters	VIII
Characteristics of Soviet Landbased Emitters	IX
Electromagnetic Environment Levels From Actual Hostile Jammers	X
Electromagnetic Environment Levels From Postulated Hostile Jammers	XI

**UNCLASSIFIED**TABLE I. Index of representative electromagnetic environment levels and emitter characteristics. (Continued)

Location	Table
<u>HANDBOOK PART 3 (continued)</u>	
Characteristics of Soviet Shipboard Jammers	XII
Characteristics of Soviet Airborne Jammers	XIII
Characteristics of Soviet Landbased Jammers	XIV
<u>HANDBOOK PART 4 (ARMY USE ONLY)</u>	
Land Environment Levels, Average Field Strengths (Pulsed and Non-Pulsed Transmitters)	Figure 1
Land Environment Levels, Peak Field Strengths (Pulsed Transmitters)	2



MIL-HDBK-235-1A

**UNCLASSIFIED****SECTION 2: REFERENCED DOCUMENTS**

2.1 The following documents of the issue in effect on date of invitation for bids, request for proposal, form a part of this handbook to the extent specified herein.

**SPECIFICATIONS****MILITARY**

- MIL-E-6051 - Electromagnetic Compatibility Requirements, Systems.

**STANDARDS****MILITARY**

- MIL-STD-461 - Electromagnetic Interference Characteristics, Requirements for Equipments.  
 MIL-STD-463 - Definitions and Systems of Units, Electromagnetic Interference and Electromagnetic Compatibility Technology.  
 MIL-STD-1385 - Preclusion of Ordnance Hazards in Electromagnetic Fields, General Requirements for  
 MIL-STD-1512 - Electroexplosive Subsystems, Electrically Initiated, Design Requirements and Test Methods

**HANDBOOKS****MILITARY**

- MIL-HDBK-235 Part 2 - Electromagnetic Radiation Environment From Friendly or Own Force Emitters.  
 MIL-HDBK-235 Part 3 - Electromagnetic Radiation Environment from Hostile Force Emitters  
 MIL-HDBK-235 Part 4 - Electromagnetic Radiation Environment, Army Installations  
 MIL-HDBK-237 - Electromagnetic Compatibility/Interference Program Requirements  
 MIL-HDBK-253 - Guidance for the Design and Test of Systems Protected against the Effects of Electromagnetic Energy

**AIR FORCE**

- AFSC DH1-4 - Air Force Systems Command Design Handbook, Electromagnetic Compatibility  
 AFSC DH2-7 - Air Force Systems Command Design Handbook, "System Survivability"

(Copies of specifications, standards, handbooks, drawings, and publications required by contractors in connection with specific procurement functions should be obtained from the procuring activity or as directed by the contracting officer.)

**UNCLASSIFIED**

# UNCLASSIFIED

## SECTION 3: DEFINITION:

3. Definitions. Meanings of terms used herein are in accordance with MIL-STD-461, MIL-STD-463, MIL-E-6051 and MIL-HDBK-237 and as specified in 3.1 through 3.6.

3.1 Electromagnetic environment. The electromagnetic environment, as defined in MIL-STD-463, includes specific characteristics and parameters, such as frequency modulations, emission levels, modes of operation, and, relative operational ranges and locations which may be encountered by an equipment, subsystem, system or platform throughout its life cycle.

3.2 Equipment. Any electrical, electronic or electromechanical device or collection of items intended to operate as an individual unit and performing a singular function. As used herein equipments include but are not limited to: receivers, transmitters, power supplies, test apparatus controls, displays and instruments, and the like.

3.3 Operate. The ability of an equipment, subsystem, or system to perform its intended function without unacceptable degradation while exposed to the electromagnetic environment.

3.4 Subsystem. For the purpose of this handbook either of the following may be considered as subsystems. In either case, the devices or equipments may be physically separated when in operation and will be installed in fixed or mobile stations, vehicles, or systems.

- (a) A collection of devices or equipments designed and integrated to function as a single entity but wherein any device or equipment is not required to function as an individual equipment as defined in 3.2.
- (b) A collection of equipments and subsystems designed and integrated to function as a major subdivision of a system and to perform an operational function or functions. Some activities consider these collections as systems; however, as noted in 3.4, for the purpose of this document they will be considered as subsystems.

3.5 Survive. The ability of an equipment, subsystem or system to perform its intended function without unacceptable degradation due to exposure to the electromagnetic environment after the adverse environment is removed. This implies that the system performance may be degraded during exposure to the environment but the system will not experience any damage, such as component burnout, which prevents it from operating satisfactorily after the electromagnetic environment levels are removed.

3.6 System. A composite of equipment, subsystems, skills and techniques capable of performing or supporting an operational role. A complete system includes related facilities, equipment, subsystems, materials, services, and personnel required for its operation to the degree that it can be considered self-sufficient within its operational or support environment.

# UNCLASSIFIED

## SECTION 4: DEFINING REQUIREMENTS

4.1 General. One of the basic objectives of the Department of Defense is to provide equipments and systems whose performance will not be adversely affected by the electromagnetic environment during all phases of the equipment or system life cycle. The effects may be either permanent, in which case the system will not operate until the damage has been repaired, or temporary, in which case the system will operate when the emissions causing the degradation are reduced or removed. Different effects can be produced, depending on the victim. Examples are:

- a. Burnout or voltage breakdown of components, antennas, and so forth.
- b. Performance degradation of receiver signal processing circuits.
- c. Erroneous or inadvertent operation of electromechanical equipments, electronic circuits, components, ordnance, and so forth.
- d. Unintentional detonation or ignition of electro-explosive devices, flammable materials, and so forth.
- e. Personnel injuries.

The effects on a given victim in a specific electromagnetic environment depend on the victim susceptibility characteristics, amplitude, frequency and time-characteristics of the environment, response time and frequency response of victim, and so forth. To prevent these problems, it is imperative that the possible effects of the electromagnetic environment on each new system be considered by the designer. A requirement to demonstrate satisfactory performance in a defined environment should be included in the equipment, subsystem or system (see 4.4) specification. MIL-HDBK-253 provides guidelines on the use of the electromagnetic environment data contained in this handbook as well as general information on the design and test of equipment, systems and platforms.

4.2 Developing the performance requirement. In developing the performance requirement for equipments, subsystems, and systems that may be exposed to the electromagnetic environment, various aspects should be considered as described in 4.2.1 through 4.2.5.

4.2.1 Environment profile. Each equipment, subsystem and system will be exposed to several different electromagnetic environments during its life cycle. The tables in the other parts of this handbook are intended for use in defining representative environment levels (see 4.3) to which each may be exposed. It is necessary to define each distinct environment. For example, a missile will be exposed to different environments during shipment, storage, checkout, launch, and during approach to a target.

4.2.2 Configuration. The configuration of each equipment, subsystem and system will vary depending on its location with the result that its susceptibility to the electromagnetic environment will also vary. Therefore, in developing the performance requirement the modes of operation, shielding, and so forth, in each of the environments defined should be identified.

4.2.3 Operate vs. survive. It is also important to distinguish between the conditions of operate and survive. There is usually a significant difference between the environment levels that will degrade performance and the levels that will permanently damage. In addition, there are many precautions that can be taken to protect an equipment from damage when it is not operating that are not feasible when it is operating.

# UNCLASSIFIED

4.2.4 Susceptibility. The susceptibility characteristics of the equipment, subsystem or system may be different depending on the design characteristics. The equipment may be frequency selective or may respond to a broad frequency range. Certain victims have response times in milliseconds and are affected by short-term, peak levels in the environment, whereas others are affected by heating and may respond more slowly to average signal levels. All of these characteristics as well as the shielding integrity, choice of components and use of filtering must be considered when evaluating the effect of the electromagnetic environment on the equipment, subsystem or system. Furthermore, non-metallic materials are being considered for use on new platforms. Since these non-metallics provide little or no shielding, the installed system, subsystem, or equipment can be exposed to environmental levels much higher than would be encountered on a platform with conventional metallic materials.

4.2.5 Future considerations. The definition of the electromagnetic environment which an equipment, subsystem or system may encounter should also include consideration of any possible future applications of the equipment, subsystem, or system and changes in the environment. Equipments designed to operate in one environment may be installed in another, or used to perform functions and missions that were not planned when the equipments were originally designed. Therefore, it is important to realize that although the cost of an equipment, subsystem, or system may increase when a severe electromagnetic environment is predicted, the increase may be justified in terms of adaptability for future applications.

4.3 Environment levels. The electromagnetic environment levels provided in Parts 2, 3 and 4 are based on actual measurements, or predictions where measurements were not feasible. They are representative maximum values for each of the frequency bands. Approximate levels are given in TABLE II of this handbook for general information. However, care should be exercised if these values are to be used for anything other than general information.

4.3.1 Modification of environmental levels. The electromagnetic environment levels are given in terms of peak and average power density and field strength. However, there are many other parameters which could influence the effect of the environment on a system, including:

Antenna scan rates	Pulse width
Antenna patterns	Pulse repetition frequency
Antenna polarization	Pulse rise and decay time
Antenna aperture	Spectrum coverage
Relative location and proximity to other emitters, both friendly and hostile.	

All known information concerning the environment within which an equipment, subsystem, or system must operate should be considered when evaluating its operation in its intended electromagnetic environment. During development it is advisable to search out additional environmental data to ensure successful operation of the completed system, subsystem or equipment. Additional information concerning the sources of the environment levels in this document can be obtained from the preparing activity or the departmental custodians, as appropriate.

4.3.2 Conditions precluding exposure. When defining the electromagnetic environment within which an equipment, subsystem or system will be required to survive and operate during its life cycle, any operational or installation conditions which can preclude exposure to these levels and any additional information concerning the environment which may affect the impact of these levels should be considered. For example, the complement of intentional emitters on a platform or site will provide an indication of those frequency bands where high environment levels can probably be encountered. Furthermore, dimensional restrictions and intervening structures may exist thereby causing a system, subsystem or equipment to operate in the near or induction field region of an antenna. Other factors which must be considered are given below:

- a. Limited platform usage. Many electronic equipments, subsystems, and systems are procured for installation on specified hulls, aircraft, ship types or land facilities. Definition of the electromagnetic environment to which the equipments and

## UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE II. Approximate EM environment levels.

LOCATION	FREQ. RANGE (MHz)	APPROXIMATE NEAR FIELD EM LEVELS			
		Power Density (mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )		Field Strength (V/m)	
		Peak	Avg	Peak	Avg
Table I - Factory-to-Depot	< 35	-	-	-	10
	35-2000	-	-	-	5
	>2000	-	-	-	20
Table II - Depot-to-Checkout	< 35	-	-	-	10
	35-2000	-	-	-	5
	>2000	-	-	-	20
Table III - Checkout Areas Aboard Ship	< 30	-	-	1	1
	30-2000	-	-	32	1
	>2000	-	-	1	1
Table IV - Hangar Deck (CV's and CVN's)	< 30	-	-	32	10
	30-2000	-	-	50	5
	>2000	-	-	334	10
Table V(a) - Flight Deck of Aircraft Carriers (CV's and CVN's)	< 30	-	-	200	100
	30-2000	-	-	5100	183
	>2000	-	-	9700	183
Table V(b) - Weather Decks, Missile Launching Ships (CG, CGN, DDG, FFG & FF's)	< 30	-	-	200	100
	30-2000	-	-	5100	183
	>2000	-	-	9700	183
Table V(c) - Weather Decks, Non-Missile Combat Ships	< 30	-	-	200	100
	30-2000	-	-	5100	183
	>2000	-	-	7220	183
Table VI(a) - Landbased Installations (Inside Xmtg Bldg and Outside all other structures)	< 30	-	-	20	10
	30-2000	-	-	40	5
	>2000	-	-	1500	40
Table VI(b) - Landbased Installations (Inside All other structures)	< 30	-	-	10	1
	30-2000	-	-	40	1
	>2000	-	-	40	1
Table VII - Envelope of Maximum EM Environment Levels In Main Beam of US Shipboard Emitters	< 30	0.11	0.11	20	20
	30-2000	2000	60	4120	460
	>2000	125,000	410	31,000	300
Table VIII - Envelope of Maximum EM Environment Levels In Main Beam of US Airborne Emitters	< 30	0.03	0.03	11	11
	30-2000	6	3	150	110
	>2000	22,000	800	9100	1750
Table IX - Envelope of Maximum EM Environment Levels In Main Beam of US Landbased Emitters	< 30	0.3	0.3	30	3
	30-2000	55,000	140	15,000	800
	>2000	210,000	450	28,000	1300

**UNCLASSIFIED**TABLE II. Approximate EM environment levels. (Continued)

	LOCATION	FREQ. RANGE (MHz)	APPROXIMATE NEAR FIELD EM LEVELS			
			Power Density ( $mW/cm^2$ )		Field Strength (V/m)	
			Peak	Avg	Peak	Avg
MIL-HDBK-235, Part 3 (Partial)	Table I - Maximum EM Environment Levels for Hostile Shipboard Emitters	< 30 30-2000 >2000	0.4 14,500 250,000	0.4 90 450	40 7300 30,000	40 600 1400
	Table II - Maximum EM Environment Levels for Hostile Airborne Emitters	< 30 30-2000 >2000	- 2510 50,000	- 4 65	- 3100 14,000	- 125 500
	Table III - Maximum EM Environment Levels for Hostile Landbased Emitters	< 30 30-2000 >2000	4 700,000 800,000	4 7000 275,000	120 55,000 850,000	120 5500 33,000
	Table X - Actual Hostile Jammers	< 2000 >2000	25 35	2 30	300 360	85 320
	Table XI - Postulated Hostile Jammers	< 2000 >2000	4500 35,000	25 350	4100 12,000	300 1200
	MIL-HDBK-235, Part 4 (Army only)	Table I - Land Environment (Pulsed & Non-Pulsed Transmitters)	< 50 50-1000 >1000	- - -	- - -	- - -
Table II - Land Environment (Pulsed Transmitters)		< 50 50-1000 >1000	- - -	- - -	10 20,000 25,000	- - -

# UNCLASSIFIED

systems may be exposed should include consideration of the actual radiation levels based on the actual emitters installed or planned for installation on the specific site or platform rather than the general radiation levels.

- b. Known location. Many electronic systems, subsystems, and equipments will be permanently installed at known locations. Definition of the electromagnetic environment to which they may be exposed should include consideration of the possibility that exposure to certain of the general radiation levels is unlikely because of the location of the new system, subsystem, or equipment relative to the sources of the radiation levels.
- c. Operational usage. There are certain electronic systems which, because of their functions, may not be exposed to the general radiation levels. For example, back-up equipment may not be exposed to radiation from primary equipment, and systems used when entering port normally will not be exposed to radiation from the fire control radars. Definition of the electromagnetic environment to which the systems may be exposed should include consideration of operational procedures which may preclude exposure to some of the environmental levels.

**4.4 Evaluation guidance.** A requirement to demonstrate satisfactory operation in the defined environment should be included in the specific equipment, subsystem or system specification. Compliance with MIL-E-6051, MIL-STD-461 or MIL-STD-1385 would provide for a testing requirement, but only to lower levels of electromagnetic radiation. The electromagnetic environment levels in this handbook are substantially higher than those in MIL-E-6051, MIL-STD-461, and MIL-STD-1385; however, it should be noted that they are more difficult to generate and require careful consideration of the availability of test equipment and the type of testing laboratory, that is, military or civilian. Numerous alternatives are available for performing the evaluation, including the following:

- a. Laboratory simulation. Prior to finalization of the design specification, a model of the platform, system, subsystem or equipment being procured may be developed and its performance evaluated in a model of the anticipated operational electromagnetic environment. The environment model should include all anticipated friendly and hostile, intentional and unintentional electromagnetic emissions. The objective of this effort is to validate the proposed design parameters and make necessary modifications prior to hardware development. The models can then be updated and re-used throughout the life cycle of the platform, system, subsystem or equipment to evaluate proposed hardware design changes and engineering change proposals (ECP's) as well as to reduce the need for costly field testing.
- b. Anechoic chamber simulation. The performance of the Advanced Development and Engineering Development Models may be evaluated by a series of tests in an anechoic chamber wherein the anticipated electromagnetic environment developed as in (a) above is scaled down and simulated by limiting the electromagnetic environment levels, frequency ranges and test sample shielding.
- c. Full-scale field testing. The performance of this type of test may necessitate use of a military test facility in lieu of a contractor's due to the difficulty in generating the high level electromagnetic environment levels. Such tests are usually quite costly since they may require installation of the system, subsystem or equipment on the intended platform. It is noted that data obtained from (a) and (b) above may reduce the requirement for field performance data.

**4.5 Documentation.** Provisions should be included in the procurement documentation to verify that the environment is considered throughout the contract. This can be accomplished by requiring the contractor to provide documentation similar to or an expansion of that described in MIL-STD-461 or MIL-E-6051 as indicated in 4.5.1 through 4.5.3.

**4.5.1 Control plan.** The techniques and procedures that will be used to enhance compliance with the performance requirements in the specified electromagnetic environment should be described. This may be accomplished by requiring the contractor to expand the contents of the control plans which may be required by the contract, such as those described in MIL-E-6051 or MIL-STD-461.

# UNCLASSIFIED

4.5.2 Test plan. The test methods and equipment that will be used to demonstrate compliance with the performance requirements in the specified electromagnetic environment should be described. This may be accomplished by requiring the contractor or testing activity to expand the contents of the test plans which may be required by the contract, such as those described in MIL-STD-461 or MIL-E-6051.

4.5.3 Test report. The results of the tests performed to demonstrate compliance with the performance requirements in the specified electromagnetic environment should be documented and reviewed by the procuring activity. This may be accomplished by requiring the contractor or test activity to expand the contents of the test reports which may be required by the contract, such as those in MIL-STD-461 or MIL-E-6051.

**Custodians:**

Army - CR  
Air Force - 11

**Preparing Activity:**

Navy - EC  
(Project EMCS-0066)

**Review Activities:**

Army - ER, AV, MI, AR  
Navy - SH, AS, MC  
Air Force - 10, 15, 17, 18, 19

**User Activities:**

Army - SC, TE

# UNCLASSIFIED



# STANDARDIZATION DOCUMENT IMPROVEMENT PROPOSAL

(See Instructions - Reverse Side)

1. DOCUMENT NUMBER	2. DOCUMENT TYPE
3a. NAME OF SUBMITTING ORGANIZATION	4. TYPE OF ORGANIZATION (Mark one)
b. ADDRESS (Street, City, State, ZIP Code)	<input type="checkbox"/> VENDOR <input type="checkbox"/> USER <input type="checkbox"/> MANUFACTURER <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify): _____
5. PROBLEM AREAS	
a. Paragraph Number and Wording:	
b. Recommended Wording:	
c. Reason/Rationale for Recommendation:	
6. REMARKS	
7a. NAME OF SUBMITTER (Last, First, MI) - Optional	b. WORK TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) - Optional
c. MAILING ADDRESS (Street, City, State, ZIP Code) - Optional	8. DATE OF SUBMISSION (YYMMDD)

ATTACH THIS FORM TO EACH STANDARD